

MEASURABLE RIGIDITY OF ACTIONS ON INFINITE MEASURE HOMOGENEOUS SPACES, II

ALEX FURMAN

ABSTRACT. We consider the problems of measurable isomorphisms and joinings, measurable centralizers and quotients for certain classes of ergodic group actions on *infinite measure* spaces. Our main focus is on systems of algebraic origin: actions of lattices and other discrete subgroups $\Gamma < G$ on homogeneous spaces G/H where H is a sufficiently rich unimodular subgroup in a semi-simple group G . We also consider actions of discrete groups of isometries $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(X)$ of a pinched negative curvature space X , acting on the space of horospheres $\text{Hor}(X)$. For such systems we prove that the only measurable isomorphisms, joinings, quotients etc. are the obvious algebraic (or geometric) ones. This work was inspired by the previous work of Shalom and Steger, but uses completely different techniques which lead to more general results.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

The starting point of our discussion is the following beautiful result of Yehuda Shalom and Tim Steger:

Theorem 1.1 (Shalom-Steger, [SS]). *Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be two abstractly isomorphic lattices in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$, and $\tau : \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma_2$ be the isomorphism. Then the only possible measurable isomorphisms between the linear actions of Γ_i on \mathbf{R}^2 are algebraic ones. More precisely, if $T : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ is a strictly measure class preserving map with $T(\gamma x) = \gamma^\tau T(x)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^2$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$, then there exists $A \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ so that $\gamma^\tau = A\gamma A^{-1}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ and $T(x) = Ax$ a.e. on \mathbf{R}^2 .*

Observe that the linear $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ -action on $\mathbf{R}^2 - \{0\}$ (which is measure-theoretically indistinguishable from \mathbf{R}^2) is precisely the action of $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ by left translations on the homogeneous space G/H where H is the *horocyclic* subgroup

$$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : s \in \mathbf{R} \right\}.$$

The action of Γ on G/H is closely related to its “dual” dynamical system – the action of H on G/Γ . The latter is an algebraic description of the horocycle flow on the unit tangent bundle SM to the Riemann surface $M = \mathbf{H}^2/\Gamma = K\backslash G/\Gamma$ (here we assume that Γ is torsion free). In the 1980s Marina Ratner discovered remarkable measurable rigidity properties of the horocycle flow, proving that all measurable isomorphisms [Rat82b], measurable quotients [Rat82a], and finally all

Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0094245, and BSF USA-Israel grant 2004345.
2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 37A17, 37A35, 22E40, 22F30.

joinings [Rat83] of such flows are algebraic, i.e. G -equivariant. Shalom-Steger result above can be viewed as a “dual companion” of Ratner’s isomorphism theorem [Rat82b]. However, it is important to emphasize that despite the formal similarities theorem 1.1 does not seem to be directly related to (neither to imply, nor to follow from) any of the above results of Ratner; it also cannot be deduced from the celebrated Ratner’s Classification of invariant measures theorem [Rat95], which contains [Rat82b], [Rat82a], [Rat83] as particular cases.

Shalom and Steger prove their theorem 1.1 (and other rigidity results, such as 1.9 (1), (2) below) ingeniously using unitary representations techniques. The present paper grew out of an attempt to give an alternative, purely dynamical proof for this theorem and other related results from [SS] *. The technique that has been developed for this purpose — the alignment property, is quite a general and turns out to be very powerful. We develop it as an abstract tool and show how to apply it to homogeneous spaces and spaces of horospheres. In the present work the rigidity phenomena of Theorem 1.1 are generalized in several directions: (1) we consider homogeneous spaces of all semi-simple groups, (2) we also consider spaces of horospheres in variable pinched negative curvature, (3) in the context of negative curvature we treat actions of discrete groups Γ which are not necessarily lattices; (4) in all the above examples we prove rigidity results not only for isomorphisms, but also for quotients and more generally for joinings.

Before stating the results we need to set a few conventions concerning II_∞ actions — these are measure preserving, ergodic group actions on non-atomic *infinite measure* Lebesgue spaces (see section 2 for more details).

Definitions 1.2. Let (X_i, m_i, Γ) , $i = 1, 2$ be two ergodic measure-preserving actions of a fixed group Γ on infinite measure Lebesgue spaces (X_i, m_i) , and $\tau \in \text{Aut } \Gamma$ be a group automorphism. A *morphism* or (τ -twisted) *quotient map* between such systems is a measurable map $\pi : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ such that

$$\pi_* m_1 = \text{const} \cdot m_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(\gamma x) = \gamma^\tau \pi(x)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and m_1 a.e. $x \in X_1$. In particular, the first condition implies that the preimage $\pi^{-1}(E)$ of a set $E \subset X_2$ of finite m_2 -measure has finite m_1 -measure. A (τ -twisted) *isomorphism* is a measurable bijection with the same properties. An ($\text{Id} \times \tau$ -twisted) *joining* of such systems is a measure \bar{m} on $X = X_1 \times X_2$ which is invariant under the (twisted) diagonal Γ -action

$$\gamma : (x_1, x_2) \mapsto (\gamma x_1, \gamma^\tau x_2)$$

and such that the projections $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$, $i = 1, 2$ are morphisms, i.e.

$$(\pi_i)_* \bar{m} = \text{const}_i \cdot m_i \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Two systems are *disjoint* if they admit no joinings†. Given an infinite measure preserving ergodic system (X, m, Γ) its measurable *centralizer* is defined to be

*Hence the numeral II in the title of this paper.

†Note that for infinite measure systems the product measure $m_1 \times m_2$ is a not a joining

the group of all measurable (possibly twisted) automorphisms of the Γ -action on (X, m) . Similarly *self joinings* are joinings of (X, m, Γ) with itself.

This framework of II_∞ actions in many respects parallels that of II_1 actions – the classical theory of ergodic *probability measure* preserving actions. For example self joinings control centralizers and quotients of a given system, and joinings between two systems control isomorphisms and common quotients (see section 2 for details). Hence stating the results below we consider separately:

- (I) results about a single action for which centralizers, quotients and self-joinings are studied;
- (II) results on pairs of systems, for which isomorphisms, common quotients and general joinings are analyzed.

We shall be mostly interested in actions of discrete subgroups $\Gamma < G$ on homogeneous spaces $X = G/H$, where G is a locally compact (always second countable) group, H is a closed subgroup so that G/H carries an infinite G -invariant measure $m_{G/H}$. The main results of the paper assert that under certain mild conditions:

- (I) measurable centralizers, quotients and self joinings of a single system $(G/H, \Gamma)$,
- (II) measurable isomorphisms and joinings between two such systems $(G_i/H_i, \Gamma)$
 $i = 1, 2$

are *algebraic*, i.e., essentially coincide with the corresponding notions (centralizers, quotients, isomorphisms, joinings, etc.) for the transitive G -action on $X = G/H$. Let us describe algebraic centralizers and quotients more explicitly:

Example 1.3 (Algebraic Centralizers and Quotients). Let $X = G/H$ be a homogeneous space with an infinite G -invariant measure m . For the transitive G -action on (X, m) we have the following:

Centralizers: The centralizer of the G -action on X in both measurable and set-theoretic sense is the group $\Lambda = N_G(H)/H$, where $\lambda = n_\lambda H \in \Lambda$ acts on $X = G/H$ by $\lambda : x = gH \mapsto \lambda x = gn_\lambda H$.

Quotients: Any G -equivariant measurable quotient of G/H is G/H' via $\pi : gH \mapsto gH'$, where $H < H'$ and H'/H is compact.

We shall consider semi-simple Lie groups G and a class of closed unimodular subgroups $H < G$ which we call “suitable” (see definition 1.7). For rank one real Lie group G suitable subgroups are any closed subgroup $N < H < MN$ where N is the horospherical subgroup and $M < K$ is the centralizer of the Cartan A in K . The assumptions on $\Gamma < G$ will vary: requiring $\Gamma < G$ to be a lattice would be sufficient to establish rigidity for all the examples; for homogeneous spaces G/H of rank one real Lie groups G , a wider class of discrete subgroups $\Gamma < G$ can be shown to be rigid. We start with these latter cases.

Homogeneous spaces of rank one real Lie groups.

Theorem A (Real Rank One: Centralizers, Self Joinings and Quotients). *Let G be a real, connected, Lie group of rank one with trivial center, $N < G$ its*

horospherical subgroup, and $H < G$ a proper closed unimodular subgroup $N < H < MN$. Suppose that $\Gamma < G$ is a discrete subgroup acting ergodically on $(G/N, m_{G/N})$ and hence also on the homogeneous space $(X, m) = (G/H, m_{G/H})$.

Then the Γ -action on (X, m) has only algebraic centralizers and quotients as described in Example 1.3, and any ergodic self-joining descends to an algebraic centralizer of the algebraic quotient G/MN .

Let us describe the scope of this theorem. The possibilities for $H < G$ as in the theorem are quite restricted: the spaces G/H are compact extensions of G/MN – the space of horospheres $\text{Hor}(S\mathbf{H})$ in the unit tangent bundle $S\mathbf{H}$ to the symmetric space $\mathbf{H} \cong G/K$ of G . However, the condition on a discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is quite mild. Examples of such subgroups include

- Any lattice Γ in G (both uniform and non-uniform ones). Ergodicity of the Γ action on $(G/H, m_{G/H})$ follows from Moore's ergodicity theorem (H is not precompact and hence acts ergodically on G/Γ).
- Let $\Lambda < G$ be a lattice and $\Gamma \triangleleft \Lambda$ so that Λ/Γ is nilpotent. Then Γ acts ergodically on $G/MN = \text{Hor}(S\mathbf{H})$. This was proved by Babillot and Ledrappier [BL98] for the case where Λ/Γ is Abelian and $\Lambda < G$ is a uniform torsion free lattice. In [Kai02] Kaimanovich showed that in this context ergodicity of the Γ -action on the space of horospheres is equivalent to the ergodicity of the Γ -action on the sphere at infinity $\partial\mathbf{H} = G/MAN$ which, in turn, is equivalent to the lack of non-constant bounded harmonic functions on the regular cover $\bar{M} = \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}$ of the finite volume manifold $M = \Lambda \backslash \mathbf{H}$. For nilpotent covering group Λ/Γ the latter is well known (e.g. Kaimanovich [Kai00]).

The next rigidity result requires a stronger assumption on a discrete group Γ in a rank one Lie group G . Let $\mathbf{H} = G/K$ denote the symmetric space of G , and $\partial\mathbf{H} = G/MAN$ its boundary.

Definition 1.4. We shall say that a torsion free Γ satisfies condition (E2) if the following equivalent conditions hold (the equivalence is due to Sullivan [Sul82])

- (E2a) Γ acts ergodically on $\partial\mathbf{H} \times \partial\mathbf{H}$ with respect to the standard measure class (that of the $K \times K$ -invariant measure).
- (E2b) The geodesic flow is ergodic on $S\mathbf{H}/\Gamma$.
- (E2c) The Poincaré series $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s \cdot d(\gamma p, p)}$ diverges at $s = \delta(\mathbf{H})$ where

$$\delta(\mathbf{H}) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \log \text{Vol}(B(p, R))$$

denotes the volume growth rate of the symmetric space \mathbf{H} .

These conditions are satisfied by any lattice $\Gamma < G$. In [Gui89] Guivarc'h considered geodesic flows on regular covers of compact hyperbolic manifolds. His results (extending previous work of M. Rees) in particular imply that if $\Lambda < G$ is a uniform lattice and $\Gamma \triangleleft \Lambda$ then Γ satisfies (E2b) iff a simple random walk on Λ/Γ is recurrent, which occurs iff Λ/Γ is a finite extension of \mathbf{Z}^d with $d \leq 2$.

Theorem B (Real Rank One: Rigidity of actions). *Let G_1, G_2 be real, connected, non compact, rank one Lie groups with trivial centers, $N_i < G_i$ the horospheric subgroups, $H_i < G_i$ closed unimodular subgroups with $\hat{H}_i = N_i < H_i < \hat{H}_i = M_i N_i$, and $(X_i, m_i) = (G_i/H_i, m_{G_i/H_i})$. Let $\Gamma_i < G_i$ be discrete subgroups satisfying condition (E2) and acting ergodically on $(G_i/N_i, m_{G_i/N_i})$. Assume that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are isomorphic as abstract groups $\tau : \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma_2$ and that (X_i, m_i, Γ_i) admit a τ -twisted (ergodic) joining \bar{m} . Then*

- (1) *Then $\tau : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ extends to an isomorphism of the ambient groups $\tau : G_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} G_2$ which maps N_1 onto N_2 .*
- (2) *The joining \bar{m} descends to an algebraic isomorphism $T' : G_1/\hat{H}_1 \rightarrow G_2/\hat{H}_2$ between algebraic quotients of the original systems, with $\hat{H}_i = M_i N_i > H_i$.*
- (3) *If the actions not only admit an ergodic joining, but actually a measurable τ -twisted isomorphism $T : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, then the isomorphism $\tau : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ as in (1) in addition maps H_1 onto H_2 and for some $\lambda \in N_{G_2}(H_2)$ we have almost everywhere $T(gH_1) = \lambda g^\tau H_2$.*

The above Theorem in particular applies to $\Gamma_i < G_i$ being lattices. However due to Mostow Rigidity the only examples of abstractly isomorphic but not conjugate lattices occur in $G_1 = G_2 = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$. In these cases $X_1 = X_2 = (\mathbf{R}^2 - \{0\})/x \sim \pm x$, but an easy modification gives a similar rigidity result for $\Gamma_i < \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ acting linearly on \mathbf{R}^2 . In this case statement (3) gives Shalom-Steger's result 1.1.

In addition to lattices in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ there are many examples of infinite covolume discrete subgroups in rank one G satisfying condition (E2), in particular these examples include certain normal subgroups in uniform lattices, namely fundamental groups of \mathbf{Z} or \mathbf{Z}^2 regular covers of compact locally symmetric spaces. This opens the possibility for the same group Γ to be embedded as a discrete subgroup satisfying (E2) in different rank one groups G_1 and G_2 . It is known that most (conjecturally all) arithmetic lattices in rank one groups $G \simeq \mathrm{SO}_{n,1}$ and $\mathrm{SU}_{n,1}$ have a finite index subgroup Λ with infinite abelianization (cf. ??, ??). In particular it would fit in an exact sequence $\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, and often the kernel Γ is expected to be a free group on infinitely many generators F_∞ .

Another class of examples is obtained by embedding the fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(S)$ of a closed orientable surface S of genus $g \geq 2$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$. Let ϕ be a pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphism of S , and $\Gamma_\phi = \mathbf{Z} \times_{[\phi]} \Gamma$ denote the semi-direct product defined by $[\phi] \in \mathrm{Out} \Gamma$. Then Γ_ϕ is a fundamental group for the mapping torus 3-manifold $M_\phi = S \times [0, 1]/(x, 0) \sim (\phi(x), 1)$. By the famous hyperbolization theorem of Thurston ([Ota01]) such an M_ϕ admits a hyperbolic structure, i.e. Γ_ϕ is a cocompact lattice in $G = \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbf{H}^3) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$. It contains the surface group Γ as a normal subgroup with $\Gamma_\phi/\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z}$. Thus Γ satisfies (E2). Therefore surface groups Γ can appear as a discrete subgroup with condition (E2) in a variety of ways in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \cong \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbf{H}^2)$ and in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C}) \cong \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbf{H}^3)$. In the former there is a continuum of such embeddings – parametrized by the Teichmüller space; in the latter there are (at least) countably many such embeddings defined by varying a pseudo-Anosov element ϕ in the Mapping Class Group of S .

Remark 1.5. The rank one results can be extended to the geometric context of the spaces of horospheres in manifolds of variable negative curvature. We formulate this result (Theorem 6.2) in Section 6.

Homogeneous spaces of general semi-simple groups. It turns out that rigidity phenomena for actions of *lattices* are quite wide spread among homogeneous spaces G/H of semisimple groups G and sufficiently large unimodular $H < G$. Before formulating general results consider the following:

Example 1.6. Let k be a local field, e.a. \mathbf{R} , \mathbf{C} , or a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p for a prime p , and let $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(k)$. Then $X = k^n \setminus \{0\}$ is the homogeneous space G/H for the unimodular closed subgroup

$$H = \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(k) : g_{11} = 1, g_{21} = \dots = g_{n1} = 0\}.$$

More generally, given a partition $n = n_1 + \dots + n_m$ (with $m > 1$ and $n_i \in \mathbf{N}$) consider the subgroup $Q < G = \mathrm{SL}_n(k)$ consisting of the upper triangular block matrices of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & B_{12} & \cdots & B_{1m} \\ 0 & A_{22} & \cdots & B_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A_{ii} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(k)$ and $B_{ij} \in M_{n_i \times n_j}(k)$. Let $\check{H} \triangleleft Q$ denote the closed subgroup consisting of matrices with $\det A_{ii} = 1$, ($i = 1, \dots, m$); and let $\hat{H} \triangleleft Q$ denote a slightly larger subgroup consisting of block matrices as above with $|\det A_{ii}| = 1$, where $|\cdot| : k \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ denotes the absolute value on k . Then $\check{H} < \hat{H}$ and any intermediate closed subgroup $\check{H} < H < \hat{H}$ are unimodular and $X = G/H$ carries an infinite G -invariant measure $m = m_{G/H}$.

We shall now describe the most general setting for our rigidity results: G will be a semi-simple group (in a very general sense), while $H < G$ will be restricted to some rather class of subgroups, which for a lack of a better term we call “*suitable*”. Subgroups $H < G$ which appear in Theorems A and B and in Example 1.6 above are suitable. The formal definition/construction is the following:

Definition 1.7 (Suitable subgroups H is semi-simple G). Let A be a finite set, for $\alpha \in A$ let k_α be a local field of zero characteristic and \mathbf{G}_α be some connected, semi-simple linear algebraic k_α -group. The product

$$(1.2) \quad G = \prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathbf{G}_\alpha(k_\alpha)$$

of k_α -points of the corresponding k_α -groups taken with the Hausdorff topology is a locally compact second countable group. We shall refer to such groups as just *semi-simple*. Groups $H < G$ appearing in the following construction will be called *suitable*.

For each $\alpha \in A$ choose a parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{Q}_\alpha < \mathbf{G}_\alpha$ and, taking the product of k_α -points of these groups, form a closed subgroup $Q = \prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathbf{G}_\alpha(k_\alpha)$ in G . To any such subgroup $Q < G$, we shall them *parabolic*, we associate two closed unimodular subgroups $\check{H} \triangleleft \hat{H} < G$ with $\check{H} < [Q, Q] < \hat{H}$ and \hat{H}/\check{H} compact. Any intermediate closed subgroup $\check{H} < H < \hat{H}$ will be called *suitable*. The subgroups $\check{H} < \hat{H}$ are constructed as follows: let $\mathbf{Q}_\alpha = \mathbf{R}_\alpha \ltimes \mathbf{V}_\alpha$ be the Levi decomposition into the the unipotent radical and a reductive factor, and let \mathbf{S}_α denote the product of all the k_α -isotropic factors of the the commutator subgroup $[\mathbf{R}_\alpha, \mathbf{R}_\alpha]$. Hence \mathbf{S}_α is a semi-simple k_α -group, and we let $\check{H} = \prod_{\alpha \in A} (\mathbf{S}_\alpha \ltimes \mathbf{V}_\alpha)(k_\alpha)$. It is a closed cocompact subgroup of the commutator $[Q, Q] = \prod_{\alpha \in A} ([\mathbf{R}_\alpha, \mathbf{R}_\alpha] \ltimes \mathbf{V}_\alpha)(k_\alpha)$. The abelianization $Q/[Q, Q]$ of Q is the product of k_α -torii $\prod \mathrm{GL}_1(k_\alpha)^{r_\alpha}$, (here r_α is the k_α -rank of \mathbf{R}_α). These torii have unique maximal compact subgroups $U_\alpha = \{(t_1, \dots, t_{r_\alpha}) : |t_1|_\alpha = \dots = |t_{r_\alpha}|_\alpha = 1\}$. We denote by \hat{H} the preimage of the product $\prod_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$ under the Abelianization epimorphism $Q \rightarrow Q/[Q, Q]$.

Remark 1.8. In a given semi-simple group G , the collection of all suitable subgroups $H < G$ is divided into families of groups related to a given parabolic $Q < G$, in each such family the groups H share a common cocompact normal subgroup \check{H} and a common compact extension \hat{H} with $[Q, Q]$. All “suitable” subgroup $H < G$ are closed unimodular and contain the maximal unipotent subgroup $N < G$ (N is the unipotent radical of the minimal parabolic $P < G$); it seems that the converse is also true: any unimodular closed subgroup containing N appears in the construction 1.7.

Theorem C (General case: Centralizers, Self Joinings and Quotients). *Let $G = \prod \mathbf{G}_\alpha(k_\alpha)$ be a semi-simple group and $H < G$ be a suitable subgroup as in 1.7 associated to a parabolic $Q < G$. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a lattice acting by left translations on $(X, m) = (G/H, m_{G/H})$.*

Then the only measurable centralizers and quotients of the Γ -action on (X, m) are algebraic (as in 1.3), and any ergodic self-joining descends to an algebraic automorphism of an algebraic quotient $(\hat{X}, \hat{m}) = (G/\hat{H}, m_{G/\hat{H}})$, and is itself a quotient of an algebraic automorphism of an algebraic extension $(\check{X}, \check{m}) = (G/\check{H}, m_{G/\check{H}})$.

Corollary 1.9. *Let k be a local field, $\Gamma < \mathrm{SL}_n(k)$ be a lattice and let (X, m) denote the vector space k^n with the Lebesgue measure, with the linear Γ -action. Then*

- (1) *the measurable centralizer of the system (X, m, Γ) consists only of homotheties: $x \mapsto \lambda x$, where $\lambda \in k^*$;*
- (2) *the only measurably proper quotients of (X, m, Γ) are of the form k^n/C where C is a closed subgroup of the compact Abelian group of k -units $U_k = \{u \in k : |u| = 1\}$;*
- (3) *the only ergodic self joinings are on graphs of homotheties*

$$\{(x, \lambda x) \mid x \in k^n\} \quad (\lambda \in k^*).$$

Remark 1.10. Items (1) and (2) in the above Corollary were first proved by Shalom-Steger [SS].

For higher rank groups our techniques are restricted to lattices. Due to Mostow-Margulis rigidity we are not able to vary the embedding of a given lattice in a higher rank group G . Hence we shall consider a fixed lattice $\Gamma < G$, but will still be able to vary the homogeneous space G/H .

Theorem D (General case: Rigidity for actions). *Let $G = \prod \mathbf{G}_i(k_i)$ be a semi-simple group, $\Gamma < G$ a lattice, and $H_1, H_2 < G$ be two suitable subgroups as in 1.7. Assume that the Γ -actions on $(X_i, m_i) = (G/H_i, m_{G/H_i})$ admit an ergodic joining \bar{m} .*

Then X_1, X_2 share a common algebraic quotient $(\hat{X}, \hat{m}) = (G/\hat{H}, m_{G/\hat{H}})$, and are common algebraic extension $(\check{X}, \check{m}) = (G/\check{H}, m_{G/\check{H}})$; the joining \bar{m} descends to an algebraic automorphism of the Γ -action on (\hat{X}, \hat{m}) and is a quotient of an algebraic automorphism of (\check{X}, \check{m}) .

Furthermore, if the original Γ -actions on (X_i, m_i) are isomorphic, say via $T : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, then for some $q \in Q$

$$H_1 = qH_2q^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad T(gH_1) = gqH_2$$

for m_1 -a.e. $gH_1 \in X_1$.

Organization of the paper. In section 2 we summarize some basic properties of our setup, such as joinings of infinite measure preserving group actions. In section 3 we introduce the notion of *alignment systems* and analyze centralizers, self-joinings and quotients of general actions admitting alignment systems. This general theory continues in Section 5 where joinings of two general systems are studied under the assumption that each has an alignment property. In section 4 we prove the alignment property for our main examples: homogeneous spaces and spaces of horospheres. In section 6 joinings between spaces of horospheres are studied. In section 7 the final results on homogeneous spaces are proved.

Acknowledgments. This paper has been inspired by the work of Yehuda Shalom and Tim Steger [SS]. I would like to thank them for sharing their results and insights. I would also like to thank Gregory Margulis and Shahar Mozes for stimulating discussions about these topics, and Marc Burger and FIM at ETH Zurich for their hospitality during summer 2002.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1 . Strictly Measure Class Preserving maps. We first discuss some technical points regarding Π_∞ actions. Let (X_i, m_i, Γ) , $i = 1, 2$ be two such actions of a fixed group Γ . A measurable map $T : (X_1, m_1) \rightarrow (X_2, m_2)$ will be called *strictly measure class preserving* if $T_*m_1 \sim m_2$ and the Radon-Nikodym derivative T' is almost everywhere positive and finite. Note that such a map as the projection $\mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$, is not *strictly* measure class preserving, although it is usually considered measure class preserving.

Any strictly measure class preserving Γ -equivariant map $X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ between ergodic measure preserving Γ -actions, has a Γ -invariant, and hence a.e. constant positive and finite Radon-Nikodym derivative. Therefore

Lemma 2.1. *If (X_i, m_i, Γ) , $i = 1, 2$ are two ergodic infinite measure preserving systems, and some fixed $\tau \in \text{Aut } \Gamma$, then:*

- (1) *Any strictly measure class preserving map $T : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ satisfying*

$$T(\gamma x) = \gamma^\tau T(x) \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma)$$

for a.e. $x \in X_1$, is a (τ -twisted) quotient map. If furthermore T is invertible then T is a (τ -twisted) isomorphism.

- (2) *A measure \bar{m} on $X = X_1 \times X_2$ invariant under the $(\text{Id} \times \tau\text{-twisted})$ diagonal Γ -action*

$$\gamma : (x_1, x_2) \mapsto (\gamma x_1, \gamma^\tau x_2)$$

for which the projections $\pi_i : (X, \bar{m}) \rightarrow (X_i, m_i)$ are strictly measure class preserving, is a (τ -twisted) joining of (X_i, m_i, Γ) , $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (X, m, Γ) be an ergodic, infinite measure preserving system, and suppose that L is a locally compact group with a faithful measurable action by measure class preserving transformations on (X, m) , commuting with Γ . Then*

- (1) *There exists a continuous multiplicative character $\Delta : L \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^*$ so that $g_* m = \Delta(g)m$ for all $g \in L$;*
- (2) *Any compact subgroup $K < L$ acts by measure preserving transformations on (X, m) , the K -orbits define a quotient system*

$$P : (X, m, \Gamma) \rightarrow (X', m', \Gamma) \quad \text{where} \quad X' = X/K, \quad m' = m/K$$

with $P : x \in X \mapsto Kx \in X'$.

Proof. (1). The derivative cocycle $\Delta(g, x) = \frac{dg_* m}{dm}(x)$ is a measurable map $L \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^*$ which is invariant under the Γ -action on X . By ergodicity, $\Delta(g, x)$ is a.e. constant $\Delta(g)$ on X . Hence Δ is a measurable character $L \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^*$. It is well known that any measurable homomorphism between locally compact second countable groups are continuous.

(2). The multiplicative positive reals \mathbf{R}_+^* do not have any non-trivial compact subgroups. Thus Δ is trivial on K , i.e., K acts by measure preserving transformations on (X, m) . The space of K -orbits X' inherits: (1) a measurable structure from X (because K is compact), (2) the action of Γ (because it commutes with K), and (3) the measure m' , as required. \square

2.2 . Joinings of II_∞ systems. Let us point out some facts about joinings of ergodic infinite measure preserving systems:

- (1) Any joining between two ergodic infinite measure preserving systems disintegrates into an integral over a probability measure space of a family of ergodic joinings.

- (2) If $(X \times Y, \bar{m})$ is a τ -twisted joining of ergodic infinite measure preserving systems (X, m, Γ) and (Y, n, Γ) (where \bar{m} projects as $c_1 \cdot m$ on X and as $c_2 \cdot n$ on Y) then there exist unique up to null sets measurable maps $X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y)$, $x \mapsto \mu_x$, and $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$, $y \mapsto \nu_y$, so that

$$\bar{m} = c_1 \cdot \int_X \delta_x \otimes \mu_x dm(x) = c_2 \cdot \int_Y \nu_y \otimes \delta_y dn(y)$$

Furthermore $\mu_{\gamma x} = \gamma_* \mu_x$ and $\nu_{\gamma^* y} = \gamma_* \nu_y$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and m -a.e. $x \in X$ and n -a.e. $y \in Y$.

- (3) In contrast to actions on probability spaces, group actions on infinite measure systems do not always admit a joining[†]. Existence of a joining is an *equivalence relation* between II_∞ actions of a fixed group Γ . Indeed, reflexivity and symmetry are rather obvious (as self joining use the diagonal $\int \delta_x \otimes \delta_x dm(x)$ measure). For transitivity, given a joining \bar{m}_{12} of (X_1, m_1, Γ) with (X_2, m_2, Γ) , and \bar{m}_{23} of (X_2, m_2, Γ) with (X_3, m_3, Γ) one can use the decompositions

$$\bar{m}_{12} = c_{12} \cdot \int_{X_2} \mu_y^{(1)} \otimes \delta_y dm_2(y), \quad \bar{m}_{12} = c_{23} \cdot \int_{X_2} \delta_y \otimes \mu_y^{(3)} dm_2(y)$$

with $\mu^{(i)} : X_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X_i)$ ($i = 1, 3$) measurable functions, in order to construct the “amalgamated” joining \bar{m} of X_1 with X_3 by setting

$$\bar{m} = \int_{X_2} \mu_y^{(1)} \otimes \mu_y^{(3)} dm_2(y).$$

Note that this amalgamated joining need not be ergodic, even if \bar{m}_{12} and \bar{m}_{23} are.

- (4) If (Y, n, Γ) is a common quotient of two systems (X_i, m_i, Γ) ($i = 1, 2$), then one can form a *relatively independent* joining of X_1 with X_2 over Y by taking the measure \bar{m} on $X_1 \times X_2$ to be

$$\bar{m} = \int_Y \mu_y^{(1)} \otimes \mu_y^{(2)} dn(y)$$

where $m_i = c_i \cdot \int_Y \mu_y^{(i)} dn(y)$, $i = 1, 2$, are the disintegration with respect to the projections.

- (5) An isomorphism (or a τ -twisted isomorphism) $T : (X_1, m_1) \rightarrow (X_2, m_2)$ gives rise to the joining (τ -twisted joining)

$$\bar{m} = \int_{X_1} \delta_x \otimes \delta_{T(x)} dm_1(x)$$

which is supported on the graph of T . In particular any (non trivial) element T of the *centralizer* of (X, m, Γ) defines a (non trivial) self joining of (X, m, Γ) .

[†]Note that the product measure $m_1 \times m_2$ is not a joining.

- (6) Any (τ -twisted) measurable quotient $p : (X, m, \Gamma) \rightarrow (Y, n, \Gamma)$ gives rise to the *relatively independent* self joining $(X \times X, \bar{m})$ of (X, m, Γ) given by

$$\bar{m} = \int_Y \mu_y \otimes \mu_y dn(y)$$

where $m = c \cdot \int_Y \mu_y dn(y)$ is the disintegration of m with respect to n into a measurable family $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$, $y \mapsto \mu_y$, of probability measures ($\mu_y(p^{-1}\{y\}) = 1$ and $\mu_{\gamma y} = \gamma_* \mu_y$ for n -a.e. $y \in Y$). This joining need not be ergodic, but can be decomposed into ergodic ones.

Thus understanding joinings between different systems and self joinings of a given system gives information on isomorphisms between systems, quotients and centralizers.

2.3 . An auxiliary Lemma.

We shall need the following technical

Lemma 2.3 (Pushforward of singular measures). *Let (X, μ) , (Y, ν) be measure spaces, Z – a standard Borel space, $\rho : Y \rightarrow Z$ and $x \in X \mapsto \alpha_x \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ be measurable maps so that*

$$\int_X \alpha_x(B) d\mu(x) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \nu(B) = 0$$

Define a measurable map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Z)$ by $x \in X \mapsto \beta_x = \rho_ \alpha_x \in \mathcal{P}(Z)$. Then*

- (1) *The map $\beta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Z)$ is well defined in terms of $\alpha : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y)$ and $\rho : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Z)$, all up to null sets. More precisely if $x \in X \mapsto \alpha'_x \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ agrees μ -a.e. with α_x , and $\rho' : Y \rightarrow Z$ agrees ν -a.e. with ρ , then the map $x \in X \mapsto \beta'_x = \rho'_* \alpha'_x \in \mathcal{P}(Z)$ agrees with β_x for μ -a.e. $x \in X$.*
- (2) *If a countable group Γ acts measurably on X , Y , Z preserving the measure class of μ on X and of ν on Y and such that*

$$\alpha_{\gamma x} = \gamma_* \alpha_x, \quad \rho(\gamma y) = \gamma \rho(y)$$

for μ -a.e. $x \in X$, ν -a.e. $y \in Y$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then

$$\beta_{\gamma x} = \gamma_* \beta_x$$

for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof. (1) For μ -a.e. equality $\beta_x = \beta'_x$ it suffices to show that for each $E \in \mathcal{B}(Z)$

$$\mu\{x \in X : \beta_x(E) \neq \beta'_x(E)\} = 0$$

because $\mathcal{B}(Z)$ is countably generated (Z is a standard Borel space). Let $F = \rho^{-1}(E)$, $F' = \rho'^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$. We have $\nu(F \Delta F') = 0$ and therefore

$$\int_X \alpha_x(F \Delta F') d\mu(x) = 0$$

By Fubini $\alpha_x(F) = \alpha_x(F')$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$. At the same time μ -a.e. $\alpha_x(F') = \alpha'_x(F')$ and so

$$\beta_x(E) = \alpha_x(F) = \alpha'_x(F') = \beta'_x(E)$$

for μ -a.e. $x \in X$.

(2) For each γ we have a.e. identities $\alpha_{\gamma x} = \gamma_* \alpha_x$ and $\rho \circ \gamma = \gamma \circ \rho$ which give rise to

$$\beta_{\gamma x} = \rho_*(\gamma_* \alpha_x) = (\rho \circ \gamma)_* \alpha_x = (\gamma \circ \rho)_* \alpha_x = \gamma_* \beta_x$$

justified by part (1). \square

3. PRINCIPLE BUNDLES WITH ALIGNMENT PROPERTIES

The following notion, which we call the *alignment property*, will play a key role in the proofs of our results. Section 4 contains examples of the alignment property. Here we shall give the definition, basic properties and a motivating application of this notion.

Definition 3.1. Let Γ be a group, (X, m) be a measure space with a measure class preserving Γ -action, B - a topological space with a continuous Γ -action, and $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be a measurable Γ -equivariant map. We shall say that π has the *alignment property* with respect to the Γ -action if $x \mapsto \delta_{\pi(x)}$ is the only, modulo m -null sets, Γ -equivariant measurable map from (X, m) to the space $\mathcal{P}(B)$ of all regular Borel probability measures on B . In this case we shall also say that the system

$$(\pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B; \Gamma)$$

is an *alignment system*.

The alignment property depends only on the measure class $[m]$ on a Borel space X . However in all the examples of the alignment phenomena in this paper X is a topological space with a continuous Γ -action, the map $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is continuous and m is an infinite Γ -invariant measure on X .

We start with a list of easy but useful observations about the alignment property.

Given a measurable map $\pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B$ on a Lebesgue space there is a well defined measure class $[\nu]$ on B – the “projection” $[\nu] = \pi_*[m]$ of the measure class $[m]$ on X . It can be defined by taking the projection $\nu = \pi_*\mu$ of some *finite* measure μ equivalent to m (being Lebesgue m is σ -finite). The measure class $[\nu]$ depends only on $[m]$, and

$$\nu(E) = 0 \iff m(\pi^{-1}E) = 0.$$

Lemma 3.2 (Uniqueness). *Let $(\pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B; \Gamma)$ be an alignment system, and ν a probability measure on B with $[\nu] = \pi_*[m]$. Then*

- (1) *π is the unique, up to m -null sets, measurable Γ -equivariant map $X \rightarrow B$,*
- (2) *$b \mapsto \delta_{\pi(b)} \in \mathcal{P}(B)$ is the unique, up to ν -null sets, measurable Γ -equivariant map $B \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B)$,*
- (3) *the identity map is the unique, up to ν -null sets, measurable Γ -equivariant map $B \rightarrow B$.*

Proof. Evident from the definitions. \square

Lemma 3.3 (Conservative). *If $(\pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B; \Gamma)$ is an alignment system and B has more than one point, then Γ -action on (X, m) has to be conservative.*

Proof. Indeed, otherwise there exists a Borel subset $E \subset X$ with $m(E) > 0$ so that $m(\gamma_1 E \cap \gamma_2 E) = 0$ whenever $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$. Choose an arbitrary measurable map $p : E \rightarrow B$ with $p(x) \neq \pi(x)$, extend it in a Γ -equivariant way to $\Gamma E = \bigcup \gamma E$ and let $p(x) = \pi(x)$ for $x \in X \setminus \Gamma E$. Then p is measurable Γ -equivariant map which does not agree with π on a positive measure set ΓE contradicting the alignment property. \square

Lemma 3.4 (Intermediate Quotients). *Let (X, m, Γ) and (X_0, m_0, Γ) be some measure class preserving measurable Γ -actions, $p : (X, m) \rightarrow (X_0, m_0)$ a Γ -equivariant measurable map, B - a topological space with a continuous Γ -action, and $\pi_0 : (X_0, m_0) \rightarrow B$ be a measurable Γ -equivariant map. If the composition map*

$$\pi : (X, m) \xrightarrow{p} (X_0, m_0) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} B$$

has the alignment property, then so does $\pi_0 : (X_0, m_0) \rightarrow B$.

Proof. Follows from the definitions. \square

Lemma 3.5 (Compact Extensions). *Let $p : (X_1, m_1, \Gamma) \rightarrow (X, m, \Gamma)$ be a compact group extension of a Γ -action on (X, m) , i.e. a compact group K acts on (X_1, m_1) by measure preserving transformations commuting with the Γ -action so that $(X, m) = (X_1, m_1)/K$ with p being the projection. If $(\pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B; \Gamma)$ is an alignment system, then the map*

$$\pi_1 : (X_1, m_1) \xrightarrow{p} (X, m) \xrightarrow{\pi} B$$

has the alignment property too.

Proof. If $y \in X_1 \mapsto \nu_y \in \mathcal{P}(B)$ is a measurable Γ -equivariant map, then

$$x \in X \mapsto \mu_x, \quad \mu_{p(y)} = \int_K \nu_{ky} dk$$

is a Γ -equivariant map, and by the alignment property $\mu_x = \delta_{\pi(x)}$ for m -a.e. $x \in X$. Since Dirac measures are extremal points of $\mathcal{P}(B)$ it follows that $\nu_y = \delta_{\pi(p(y))}$ for m_1 -a.e. $y \in X_1$. \square

Lemma 3.6 (Finite Index Tolerance). *Let (X, m, Γ) be a measure class preserving action of a countable group Γ , B - a topological space with a continuous Γ -action, and $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ a measurable Γ -equivariant map. Let $\Gamma' < \Gamma$ be a finite index subgroup.*

Then $(\pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B; \Gamma')$ is an alignment system iff $(\pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B; \Gamma)$ is also an alignment system.

Proof. Let γ_i , $i = 1 \dots k$ be the representatives of Γ' cosets. Suppose $x \in X \mapsto \nu_x \in \mathcal{P}(B)$ is Γ -equivariant measurable map then $x \mapsto \mu_x = k^{-1} \sum_1^k \nu_{\gamma_i x}$ is Γ' -equivariant map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B)$, and therefore is $\mu_x = \delta_{\pi(x)}$. The fact that $\delta_{\pi(x)}$ are extremal points of $\mathcal{P}(B)$ implies that $\nu_x = \delta_{\pi(x)}$ for m -a.e. $x \in X$. \square

Lemma 3.7 (Products). *Direct product of alignment systems is an alignment system.*

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$ let $(\pi_i : (X_i, m_i) \rightarrow B_i, \Gamma_i)$ be alignment systems. Set $(X, m) = (X_1 \times X_2, m_1 \otimes m_2)$, $B = B_1 \times B_2$, and $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$. We want to show that $\pi(x, y) = (\pi_1(x), \pi_2(y))$ has the alignment property.

Suppose that $(x, y) \in X \mapsto \mu_{x,y} \in \mathcal{P}(B_1 \times B_2)$ is a measurable Γ -equivariant map. Choose probability measures m'_i in the measure classes of m_i . Define measurable maps $\nu^{(i)} : (X_i, m_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B_i)$ by

$$\nu_x^{(1)}(E) = \int \mu_{x,y}(E \times B_2) dm'_2(y), \quad \nu_y^{(2)}(F) = \int \mu_{x,y}(B_1 \times F) dm'_1(x).$$

Then $\nu^{(i)} : (X_i, m_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B_i)$ are measurable and Γ_i -equivariant. Thus $\nu_x^{(i)} = \delta_{\pi_i(x)}$ and since these are extremal points we conclude that a.e.

$$\mu_{x,y}(E \times B_2) = \delta_{\pi_1(x)}(E), \quad \mu_{x,y}(B_1 \times F) = \delta_{\pi_2(y)}(F).$$

This readily gives $\mu_{x,y} = \delta_{\pi_1(x)} \otimes \delta_{\pi_2(y)}$. \square

3.1 . Principle Bundles. We shall be interested in examples where $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is a principle bundle, by which we mean that $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is a surjective continuous map between topological spaces, L is a locally compact group acting continuously and freely on X so that the L -orbits are precisely the fibers of $\pi : X \rightarrow B$. In this situation we shall say that $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is a *principle L -bundle*. An automorphism of a principle L -bundle is a homeomorphism of X which commutes with the L -action, and therefore descends to a homeomorphism of $B = X/L$.

Definition 3.8. If $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is a principle L -bundle, Γ a group of bundle automorphisms, m a measure on X so that both Γ and L act on (X, m) by measure class preserving transformations, and $\pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B$ has the alignment property with respect to the Γ -action, we shall say that $(\pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B; \Gamma)$ is a *principle L -bundle alignment system*, or an alignment system which is a principle L -bundle.

More specifically we shall consider the following examples:

Example 3.9 (Homogeneous Spaces). Let G be a locally compact group, and $H \triangleleft Q$ - closed subgroups. Set

$$X = G/H, \quad B = G/Q, \quad \pi : X \rightarrow B, \quad \pi(gH) = gQ.$$

Observe that Q acts on G/H from the right by $q : gH \mapsto gq^{-1}H$. This action is transitive on the π -fibers with H being the stabilizer of every point gH . Thus $L = Q/H$ acts freely on X producing the π -fibers as its orbits. Thus $\pi : G/H \rightarrow G/Q$ is a principle L -bundle. In this setup the group G and its subgroups act by bundle automorphisms.

In section 4 we shall establish the alignment property for such bundles under very mild assumptions on H in a semi-simple G .

Example 3.10 (Space of Horospheres). Let N be a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold of pinched negative curvature, and let ∂N denote the boundary of N . For $p, q \in N$ and $\xi \in \partial N$ the Busemann function is defined as

$$(3.1) \quad \beta_\xi(p, q) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \xi} [d(p, z) - d(q, z)].$$

The *horospheres* in N are the level sets of the Busemann function:

$$\text{hor}_\xi(t) = \{p \in N : \beta_\xi(p, o) = t\}$$

where $o \in N$ is some reference point. We denote by

$$\text{Hor}(N) = \{\text{hor}_\xi(t) : \xi \in \partial N, t \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

the space of horospheres.

$\text{Hor}(N)$ fibers over ∂N via $\text{hor}_\xi(t) \mapsto \xi$. This is a principle \mathbf{R} -bundle over ∂N , where \mathbf{R} acts by $s : \text{hor}_\xi(t) \mapsto \text{hor}_\xi(t + s)$. Since $\beta_\xi(p, q) + \beta_\xi(q, 0) = \beta_\xi(p, o)$ different choices of $o \in N$ change only the trivialization of this bundle.

The group of isometries of N acts also on the boundary $B = \partial N$ and on the space $\text{Hor}(N)$ of horospheres because $\beta_{\gamma\xi}(\gamma p, \gamma o) = \beta_\xi(p, o)$ for $\gamma \in \text{Isom}(N)$. In the above parametrization this action takes the form

$$\gamma : \text{hor}_\xi(t) \mapsto \text{hor}_{\gamma\xi}(t + c(\gamma, \xi)), \quad \text{where } c(\gamma, \xi) = \beta_\xi(\gamma o, o).$$

Note that $c : \Gamma \times \partial N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is an additive cocycle, that is

$$(\gamma' \gamma, \xi) = c(\gamma', \gamma \cdot \xi) + c(\gamma, \xi).$$

We shall see in section 4 that $\pi : \text{Hor}(N) \rightarrow \partial N$ has the alignment property with respect to discrete subgroups $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(N)$ and Γ -invariant conservative measures (Theorem 4.1).

We complete this section by showing how to compute the measurable centralizer, quotients and self-joinings of any Π_∞ system (X, m, Γ) admitting a structure of a principle bundle with an alignment property relative to its base.

Theorem 3.11 (Centralizers, Self Joinings, Quotients). *Let (X, m, Γ) be an ergodic infinite measure preserving system and $(\pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B; \Gamma)$ a principle L -bundle with the alignment property. Then the measurable centralizer, self joinings and quotients of (X, m, Γ) can be described as follows:*

- (1) *Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be some Borel measurable Γ -equivariant map. Then $T(x) = \lambda_0 x$ for some fixed $\lambda_0 \in L$ and m -a.e. $x \in X$.*
- (2) *Any ergodic self joinings of the Γ -action on (X, m) is given by the measure $c \cdot m_{\lambda_0}$ on $X \times X$ for some $0 < c < \infty$ and $\lambda_0 \in L$, where*

$$m_{\lambda_0} = \int_X \delta_x \otimes \delta_{\lambda_0 x} dm(x)$$

- (3) *The only measurably proper Γ -equivariant quotients of (X, m) are of the form $(X, m)/K$ where $K < L$ is a compact subgroup.*

Proof. (1). Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an arbitrary Borel measurable Γ -equivariant map. The map

$$X \xrightarrow{T} X \xrightarrow{\pi} B$$

is Borel and Γ -equivariant as well. In view of the alignment property of π we have $\pi(T(x)) = \pi(x)$ for m -a.e. $x \in X$. This allows to define a measurable function $\lambda : X \rightarrow L$ by $T(x) = \lambda_x x$. We have for m -a.e. $x \in X$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$:

$$\gamma \lambda_{\gamma x} x = \lambda_{\gamma x} \gamma x = T(\gamma x) = \gamma T(x) = \gamma \lambda_x x$$

which, in view of the freeness of the L -action, means $\lambda_{\gamma x} = \lambda_x$ m -a.e. Thus, ergodicity of the Γ -action on (X, m) , implies that λ_x is m -a.e. a constant $\lambda_0 \in L$. Hence $T(x) = \lambda_0 x$ for m -a.e. $x \in X$ as claimed.

(2). Let $(X \times X, \bar{m})$ be an ergodic self joining of the Γ -action on (X, m) . Then the measure \bar{m} can be disintegrated with respect to its projections on both factors as:

$$\bar{m} = c_1 \cdot \int_X \delta_x \otimes \mu_x dm(x) = c_2 \cdot \int_X \nu_x \otimes \delta_x dm(x)$$

with $0 < c_1, c_2 < \infty$ and $\{\mu_x\}$ and $\{\nu_x\}$ measurable families of probability measures on X , indexed by $x \in X$, satisfying m -a.e.

$$\mu_{\gamma x} = \gamma_* \mu_x, \quad \nu_{\gamma x} = \gamma_* \nu_x \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma).$$

By Lemma 2.3 we can define a measurable Γ -equivariant map

$$X \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{P}(X) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathcal{P}(B), \quad \text{by} \quad x \mapsto \nu_x \mapsto \pi_* \nu_x.$$

By the alignment property for m -a.e. x the measure μ_x is supported on $\pi^{-1}(\pi(x)) = Lx$. Thus $\lambda x \in Lx \mapsto \lambda \in L$ maps μ_x to a probability measure σ_x on L . Note that $\{\sigma_x\}$, $x \in X$, is a measurable family of probability measures on L , which satisfies for m -a.e. $x \in X$, every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and Borel set E on L :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\gamma x}(E) &= \mu_{\gamma x}(\{\lambda \gamma x : \lambda \in E\}) = \gamma_* \mu_x(\{\gamma \lambda x : \lambda \in E\}) \\ &= \mu_x(\{\lambda x : \lambda \in E\}) = \sigma_x(E). \end{aligned}$$

Ergodicity of the Γ -action on (X, m) implies that σ_x is a.e. equal to a fixed probability measure σ on L .

The fact that a.e. $\pi_* \mu_x = \delta_{\pi(x)}$ means that the measure \bar{m} is supported on the set

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{F} = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : \pi(x) = \pi(y)\}$$

Given a Borel set $E \subseteq L$ define $F_E = \{(x, \lambda x) : x \in X, \lambda \in E\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and observe that

- F_E is invariant under the diagonal Γ -action.
- $\bar{m}(F_E) = 0$ if and only if $\sigma(E) = 0$.
- $\mathcal{F} \setminus F_E = F_{L \setminus E}$.

If \bar{m} is ergodic with respect to the diagonal Γ -action, for each measurable $E \subset L$ either $\bar{m}(F_E) = \sigma(E) = 0$ or $\bar{m}(F_{L \setminus E}) = \sigma(L \setminus E) = 0$. This implies that σ is a Dirac measure δ_{λ_0} at some $\lambda_0 \in L$, and consequently \bar{m} is supported on $\{(x, \lambda_0 x) : x \in X\}$ as in the statement of the Theorem.

(3). Let $p : (X, m) \rightarrow (Y, n)$ be a Γ -equivariant measurably proper quotient. Then m can be disintegrated with respect to the quotient map

$$m = \int_Y \mu_y dn(y)$$

where $\mu_y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\mu_y(p^{-1}(\{y\})) = 1$ for n -a.e. $y \in Y$. Consider the *independent joining relative* to $p : X \rightarrow Y$, defined by the measure

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{m} = \int_Y \mu_y \otimes \mu_y dn(y)$$

on $X \times X$. It is Γ -invariant and projects onto m in both factors. Thus the disintegration of \bar{m} into Γ -ergodic components consists of ergodic self joinings of (X, m, Γ) i.e.

$$\bar{m} = \int_L m_\lambda d\sigma(\lambda), \quad \text{where} \quad m_\lambda = \int_X \delta_x \otimes \delta_{\lambda x} dm(x)$$

and σ is a probability measure on L . In particular m is supported on the set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(x, x') : \pi(x) = \pi(x')\} = \{(x, \lambda x) : x \in X, \lambda \in L\} \subset X \times X.$$

A comparison with (3.3) yields that for n -a.e. $y \in Y$ the measure μ_y is supported on a single L -orbit and moreover for μ_y -a.e. x

$$\int_L f(\lambda x) d\sigma(\lambda) = \int_X f(x') d\mu_y(x'), \quad (f \in C_c(X)).$$

Since the roles of x and x' are symmetric, σ is a symmetric measure i.e. $d\sigma(\lambda) = d\sigma(\lambda^{-1})$. Moreover, for any $f \in C_c(X)$ and μ_y -a.e. x we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_L \int_L f(\lambda_2 \lambda_1 x) d\sigma(\lambda_1) d\sigma(\lambda_2) &= \int_L \left(\int_X f(\lambda_2 x_1) d\mu_y(x_1) \right) d\sigma(\lambda_2) \\ &= \int_X \left(\int_L f(\lambda_2 x_1) d\sigma(\lambda_2) \right) d\mu_y(x_1) = \int_X f d\mu_y = \int_L f(\lambda x) d\sigma(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\sigma * \sigma = \sigma$.

Lemma 3.12. *A symmetric probability measure σ on a locally compact group L satisfies $\sigma * \sigma = \sigma$ if and only if $\sigma = m_K$ – Haar measure on a compact subgroup $K < L$.*

Proof. The "if" part is evident. For the "only if" part assume σ is symmetric and $\sigma * \sigma = \sigma$ and let $K = \text{supp}(\sigma)$. K is a closed subgroup of L . Indeed $K^{-1} = K$ and $K \cdot K \subseteq K$. To see the latter, given $k_1, k_2 \in \text{supp}(\sigma)$ and a neighborhood U of $k_1 \cdot k_2$, choose open neighborhoods V_i of k_i so that $V_1 \cdot V_2 \subset U$, and note that

$$\sigma(U) = \sigma * \sigma(U) \geq \sigma(V_1) \cdot \sigma(V_2) > 0.$$

As U was arbitrary, it follows that $k_1 \cdot k_2 \in K$.

Now let P_σ be the Markov operator

$$(P_\sigma f)(k) = \int_K f(kk') d\sigma(k').$$

which is defined on $C_0(K, \mathbf{R})$ and takes values in $C_0(K, \mathbf{R})$. It is a projection because $P_\sigma^2 = P_{\sigma * \sigma} = P_\sigma$. If $g \in C_0(K, \mathbf{R})$ is a P_σ -invariant function, then the closed set $A_g = \{k \in K : g(k) = \max g\}$ satisfies $A_g k' = A_g$ for σ -a.e. $k' \in K$, and $K = \text{supp}(\sigma)$ yields $A_g = K$ and so $g = \text{const}$. This implies that K is compact

for $C_0(K, \mathbf{R})$ contains a non-trivial constant function. Hence for $f \in C(K)$ and $k_0 \in K$ we have

$$\int_K f(k) d\sigma(k) = (P_\sigma f)(e) = (P_\sigma f)(k_0) = \int_K f(k_0 k) d\sigma(k)$$

which means that the probability measure σ is left invariant on the compact group K . \square

Remark 3.13. In the above Lemma the assumption that σ is symmetric is redundant: we used only $\sigma = \sigma * \sigma$ to show that $K = \text{supp}(\sigma)$ is a closed sub semigroup of L which is compact; but compact sub semigroups in topological groups are known to form subgroups.

Returning to the description of the quotient (Y, n) of (X, m) , we observe that for n -a.e. $y \in Y$ the measure ν_y is supported and equidistributed on a single K -orbit in X , so that Y can be identified with X/K and n with m/K . Note also that the Γ -action on X descends to an action on X/K because Γ and $K < L$ commute. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.11. \square

4. MAIN EXAMPLES OF THE ALIGNMENT PROPERTY

We start with the geometric setup (recall Example 3.10):

Theorem 4.1 (Space of Horospheres). *Let N be a complete simply connected negatively curved Riemannian manifold, $X = \text{Hor}(N)$ – the space of horospheres, $B = \partial N$ – the boundary, $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ the natural projection. Let $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(N)$ be a discrete group, and m be some Borel regular Γ -invariant measure with full support on X .*

Then $(\pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B; \Gamma)$ is the alignment system if and only if the Γ -action on (X, m) is conservative.

Proof. Lemma 3.3 provides the "only if" direction. The content of this Theorem is the "if" direction. We assume that the Γ -action on (X, m) is conservative and $x \in X \mapsto \mu_x \in \mathcal{P}(B)$ is a measurable Γ -equivariant map, which should be proven to coincide m -a.e. with $\delta_{\pi(x)}$. Assuming the contrary, the set

$$A = \{x \in X : \mu_x(\{\pi(x)\}) < 1\} \quad \text{has} \quad m(A) > 0.$$

Note that A is Γ -invariant and we may assume that $\mu_{\gamma x} = \gamma_* \mu_x$ for all $x \in A$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. For $x \in A$ denote by ν_x the normalized restriction of μ_x to $B \setminus \{\pi(x)\}$. Then also $\{\nu_x\}$, $x \in A$, is Γ -equivariant: $\nu_{\gamma x} = \gamma_* \nu_x$.

Let ρ be some metric on $B = \partial N$, e.g. the visual metric from the base $o \in N$, and let $U_{\xi, \epsilon} = \{\eta \in B : \rho(\xi, \eta) < \epsilon\}$, $K_{x, \epsilon} = B \setminus U_{\pi(x), \epsilon}$. Set

$$A_\epsilon = \{x \in A : \nu_x(K_{x, \epsilon}) > 1/2\}$$

As $A = \bigcup_1^\infty A_{1/k}$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ so that $m(A_\epsilon) > 0$. By Luzin's theorem there exists a (compact) subset $C \subseteq A_\epsilon$ with $m(C) > 0$ so that the map $x \in C \mapsto \nu_x \in \mathcal{P}(B)$ is continuous on C . Since Γ is conservative on (X, m) and m is positive

on non-empty open sets, for m -a.e. $x \in C$ there exists an infinite sequence of elements $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$ so that

$$\gamma_n x \rightarrow x, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_n x \in C \subseteq A_\epsilon, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Let us fix such an x_0 and the corresponding infinite sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$. Let $X = K_{x_0, \epsilon}$ – a compact subset of $B = \partial N$ with $\rho(\pi(x_0), K) \geq \epsilon > 0$. We shall show that for large n the set $\gamma_n^{-1}K$ and K are disjoint. Then

$$\nu_x(K) + \nu_x(\gamma_n^{-1}K) = \nu_x(K \cup \gamma_n^{-1}K) \leq 1$$

for large n , and we shall arrive at a contradiction because

$$1/2 < \nu_x(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{\gamma_n x}(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\gamma_n)_* \nu_x(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_x(\gamma_n^{-1}K).$$

In order to show that $\gamma_n^{-1}K$ and K are disjoint for large n , we look at the unit tangent bundle SN of N . It is homeomorphic to

$$\{(\eta, \xi, t) : \eta \neq \xi\} \quad \text{via} \quad v \in SN \mapsto (v^+, v^-, t(v))$$

where $v^+ \neq v^- \in \partial N$ denote the forward and backward end points of the geodesic in N determined by v , and $t(v) = \beta_{v^+}(p(v), o) \in \mathbf{R}$, where $p(v) \in N$ is the base point of the unit tangent vector v .

Let $\xi_0 = \pi(x_0)$. The horosphere $x_0 = \text{hor}_{\xi_0}(t_0)$ corresponds in one-to-one fashion to $\{(\xi_0, \eta, t_0) : \eta \neq \xi_0\}$ – the set of unit vectors based at points of $\text{hor}_{\xi_0}(t_0)$ and pointing towards $\xi_0 \in \partial N$ (this is the usual identification between the horosphere as a subset of N and as the stable leaf in SN). The assumption that $\gamma_n x_0 \rightarrow x_0$ in X means that $\gamma \xi_0 \rightarrow \xi_0$ and $t_n \rightarrow t_0$ in \mathbf{R} , where $\gamma_n : \text{hor}_{\xi_0}(t_0) \mapsto \text{hor}_{\gamma_n \xi_0}(t_n)$.

Let $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ be such that $\rho(\gamma_n \xi_0, \xi_0) < \epsilon/2$ and $|t_n - t_0| < 1$ for all $n \geq n_0$. The set

$$Q = \{u \in SN : \rho(u^+, u^-) \geq \epsilon/2, t(u) \in [t_0 - 1, t_0 + 1]\}$$

is compact in SN . $\text{Isom}(N)$ acts properly discontinuously on N and on SN . Thus the infinite sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ in the discrete subgroup $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(N)$ eventually moves Q away from itself. In other words, there exists n_1 so that

$$\gamma_n Q \cap Q = \emptyset, \quad \forall n \geq n_1.$$

We claim that $\gamma_n^{-1}K \cap K = \emptyset$ for all $n \geq \max\{n_0, n_1\}$. Indeed suppose that $\eta \in \gamma_n^{-1}K \cap K$ for some $n \geq n_0$, and let $v \in SN$ be (the unique) vector with $(v^+, v^-, t(v)) = (\xi_0, \eta, t_0)$. Then $v \in Q$ and $\gamma_n v$, corresponding to $(\gamma_n \xi_0, \gamma_n \eta, t_n)$, also lies in Q . This is possible only if $n < n_1$. This completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

For the proof of the alignment property for maps between homogeneous spaces we shall need the following general result.

Theorem 4.2 (Homogeneous spaces). *Let G be a locally compact group, $H < Q < G$ – closed subgroups and $\Gamma < G$ – a discrete subgroup. Suppose that there exists an open cover*

$$G/Q \setminus \{eQ\} = \bigcup V_i$$

and closed subgroups $T_i < H$ so that for each i :

- (1) V_i is a T_i -invariant set and T_i acts properly on V_i ,
- (2) the Γ -action on $(G/T_i, m_{G/T_i})$ is conservative.

Let $(X, m) = (G/H, m_{G/H})$, $B = G/Q$ and $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be the natural projection. Then $\pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B$ has the alignment property with respect to the Γ -action.

Proof. Let $X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G/Q)$, $x \mapsto \mu_x$, be a fixed Borel map satisfying

$$\mu_{\gamma x} = \gamma_* \mu_x$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and m -a.e. $x \in X$. We shall prove that $\mu_x = \delta_{\pi(x)}$ for m -a.e. $x \in X$ by reaching a contradiction starting from the assumption that $m(A) > 0$ where

$$A = \{x \in X : \mu_x(B \setminus \{\pi(x)\}) > 0\}.$$

Let $x \mapsto g_x$ be some Borel cross section of the projection $G \rightarrow G/H$. As $B \setminus \{\pi(x)\} = g_x(G/Q \setminus \{eQ\}) = g_x(\bigcup V_i)$ we have

$$A = \{x \in X : \mu_x(\bigcup_i g_x V_i) > 0\} \subseteq \bigcup_i \{x \in X : \mu_x(g_x V_i) > 0\}.$$

The set of indices i in the assumption of the theorem can always be taken finite or countable because all the homogeneous spaces in question are separable (in the applications below the set is actually finite). Thus $m(A) > 0$ implies that for some i the set

$$A_i = \{x \in X : \mu_x(g_x V_i) > 0\}$$

has positive m -measure. Let $x \in A$ $\mapsto \nu_x \in \mathcal{P}(G/Q)$ denote the normalized restrictions of μ_x to $g_x V_i$:

$$\nu_x(E) = \mu_x(E \cap g_x V_i) / \mu_x(g_x V_i) \quad (E \subset G/Q \text{ measurable}).$$

We still have $\nu_{\gamma x} = \gamma_* \nu_x$ for a.e. $x \in A_i$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Given a compact subset K of V_i let

$$A_{i,K} = \{x \in A_i : \nu_x(g_x K) > 1/2\}.$$

and choose $K \subset V_i$ large enough so that $m(A_{i,K}) > 0$.

By Luzin's theorem, there exists a (compact) subset $C \subseteq A_{i,K}$ with $m(C) > 0$, so that both $g_x \in G$ and $\nu_x \in \mathcal{P}(G/L)$ vary continuously on $x \in C$. We can also assume that $\nu_{\gamma x} = \gamma_* \nu_x$ for all $x \in C$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

We shall now use the assumption that the Γ -action on $(X', m') = (G/T_i, m_{G/T_i})$ is conservative in order to obtain the following

Lemma 4.3. *For m -a.e. $x \in C$ there exist sequences: $\gamma_n \rightarrow \infty$ in Γ , $u_n, v_n \rightarrow e$ in G , and $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ in T_i , so that:*

$$\gamma_n = g_x v_n t_n u_n^{-1} g_x^{-1}, \quad \gamma_n x \in E, \quad \gamma_n x \rightarrow x.$$

Proof. Let U be a neighborhood of $e \in G$. Choose a smaller neighborhood W for which $e \in W = W^{-1}$ and $W^2 \subset U$. Since $x \in C \mapsto g_x \in G$ is continuous, the compact set $C \subset X$ can be covered by (finitely many) subsets $C = \bigcup B_j$ of small enough size to ensure that $g_x^{-1} g_y \in W$ whenever x and y lie in the same B_j . Consider the subsets $E_j \subset X'$ defined by

$$E_j = \{g_x w T_i : x \in B_j, w \in W \cap H\}.$$

Then $m'(E_j) > 0$ whenever $m(B_j) > 0$. For each such j apply the following argument: Γ is conservative on (X', m') , i.e. m' -a.e. point of E_j is recurrent. This implies that for m -a.e. $x \in B_j$ and m_H -a.e. $w \in W \cap H$ there exists a non trivial $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma g_x w T_i = g_y w' T_i$ where $y = \gamma x \in B_j$ and $w' \in W \cap H$. Hence for some $t \in T_i$ we have $\gamma g_x w = g_y w' t$ and

$$\gamma = g_x(g_x^{-1}g_y)w'tw^{-1}g_x^{-1} = g_xutvg_x^{-1}$$

where $u = (g_x^{-1}g_y)w' \in W^2 \subset U$ and $v = w^{-1} \in W^{-1} \subset U$.

This shows (by applying these arguments to all B_j of positive measure) that for m -a.e. $x \in C \subset X$ there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma x \in C$ and $\gamma = g_xutvg_x^{-1}$ where $u, v \in U$ and $t \in T_i$. By passing to a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of neighborhoods shrinking to identity in G , we obtain the sequence $\gamma_n = g_xv_nt_nu_n^{-1}g_x^{-1}$ with $u_n, v_n \rightarrow e$. Γ is discrete in G . Thus $\gamma_n \rightarrow \infty$ in G which yields $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ in T_i . By construction $\gamma_n x \in E$, and $\gamma_n x \rightarrow x$ from the above form of γ_n . \square

We return to the proof of the Theorem. Let $K' \subset V_i$ be a compact set containing K in its interior. For a.e. $x \in C$ let γ_n, u_n, v_n and t_n be as in Lemma 4.3. Choose a symmetric neighborhood U of $e \in G$ small enough to ensure $UK \subset K'$. For n large enough, $u_n, v_n \in U$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\gamma_n x}(g_x K) &= \gamma_n \nu_x(g_x K) = \nu_x(\gamma_n^{-1}g_x K) \\ &= \nu_x(g_x u_n t_n^{-1} v_n^{-1} g_x^{-1} g_x K) \leq \nu_x(g_x u_n t_n^{-1} K') \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma_n x \rightarrow x$ in C , the left hand side converges to $\nu_x(g_x K) > 1/2$ which gives

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_x(g_x u_n t_n^{-1} K') > 1/2.$$

At the same time $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ in T_i and in view of the properness of the T_i -action on V_i , for large n , $t_n^{-1}K'$ is disjoint from $UK \subset K'$. Hence

$$g_x u_n t_n^{-1} K' \cap g_x K \subseteq g_x u_n(t_n^{-1} K' \cap UK) = \emptyset$$

which yields

$$\nu_x(g_x u_n t_n^{-1} K') \leq 1 - \nu_x(g_x K) < 1/2.$$

The obtained contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 4.2. \square

Let us illustrate this general result in two concrete examples, and then in a more general situation.

Corollary 4.4. *Let k be a local field, $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(k)$, and $\Gamma < G$ a discrete subgroup acting conservatively on k^2 w.r.to the Haar measure. Then the projection $\pi : k^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow kP^1$ has the alignment property with respect to the Γ -action.*

Proof. Denote by H the stabilizer in G of $e_1 = (1, 0) \in k^2$, and by Q the stabilizer in G of the projective point $[e_1] = ke_1 \in kP^1$. Then $G/Q \cong kP^1$ and $(G/H, m_{G/H}) \cong (k^2 \setminus \{0\}, \text{Haar})$, the H -action on G/Q acts properly discontinuously (and transitively) on the complement $V = G/Q \setminus \{eQ\}$ of the fixed point $\{eQ\}$. The assumptions of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let k be a local field, $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(k)$ and $\Gamma < G$ be a lattice. Then the projection $\pi : k^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow kP^{n-1}$ has the alignment property with respect to the Γ -action and the Haar measure on k^n .*

Proof. Let H be the stabilizer in G of $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in k^n$, and let Q be the stabilizer of the projective point $[e_1] = ke_1 \in kP^{n-1}$. Then $(G/H, m_{G/H}) \cong (k^n \setminus \{0\}, \text{Haar})$ and $G/Q \cong kP^1$. For $i = 2, \dots, n$ let

$$V_i = \{[(x_1, \dots, x_n)] \in kP^{n-1} : x_i \neq 0\}, \quad T_i = \{I + tE_{1,i} : t \in k\}$$

where I denotes the identity matrix and $E_{j,k}$ the elementary matrix with 1 in the j, k -place and zeros elsewhere. This system satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.2. Indeed the only non-elementary condition is conservativity of the Γ -action on G/T_i . By Moore's ergodicity theorem T_i acts ergodically on G/Γ , which is equivalent to the ergodicity of the Γ -action on G/T_i . \square

The above are particular cases of the following more general:

Theorem 4.6. *Let $G = \prod \mathbf{G}_\alpha(k_\alpha)$ be a semi-simple group and $H < G$ be a “suitable” subgroup as in Definition 1.7, i.e. H is pinched $\check{H} \triangleleft H < \hat{H}$ between certain unimodular subgroups $\check{H}, \hat{H} \triangleleft Q$ associated to a parabolic $Q < G$. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a lattice (not necessarily irreducible). Denote $(X, m) = (G/H, m_{G/H})$, $(\check{X}, \check{m}) = (G/\check{H}, m_{G/\check{H}})$, $(\hat{X}, \hat{m}) = (G/\hat{H}, m_{G/\hat{H}})$ and $B = G/Q$. Then*

- (1) *the natural projections*

$$\check{\pi} : (\check{X}, \check{m}) \rightarrow B, \quad \pi : (X, m) \rightarrow B, \quad \hat{\pi} : (\hat{X}, \hat{m}) \rightarrow B$$

have the alignment property with respect to the action of Γ ;

- (2) *the systems $\check{\pi} : \check{X} \rightarrow B$, $\hat{\pi} : \hat{X} \rightarrow B$ are principle bundles with structure groups $\check{L} = Q/\check{H}$ and $\hat{L} = Q/\hat{H}$;*
- (3) *\hat{L} is Abelian and has no non-trivial compact subgroups, and \check{L} is a compact extension of \hat{L} .*

Proof of Theorem 4.6. Assertions (2) and (3) just record some properties of the construction 1.7 which will be used later (cf. Theorem 5.1). So it only remains to prove the alignment property of the three systems (1). The natural projections are nested

$$\check{X} \rightarrow X \rightarrow \hat{X} \longrightarrow B, \quad g\check{H} \mapsto gH \mapsto g\hat{H} \mapsto gQ.$$

So by Lemma 3.4 it suffices to prove the alignment property for the Γ -action on $\check{\pi} : (\check{X}, \check{m}) \rightarrow B$.

The group G , as well as $\check{H} \triangleleft Q$, are product groups:

$$G = \prod_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha, \quad \check{H} = \prod_{\alpha \in A} \check{H}_\alpha, \quad Q = \prod_{\alpha \in A} Q_\alpha$$

formed by the k_α -points of the corresponding k_α -groups. So $\check{\pi} : \check{X} \rightarrow B$ splits as a product of projections

$$\check{\pi}_\alpha : \check{X}_\alpha = G_\alpha/\check{H}_\alpha \longrightarrow B_\alpha = G_\alpha/Q_\alpha.$$

If Γ is reducible, then some subgroup of finite index Γ' splits as a product of irreducible lattices $\Gamma_i < \prod_{\alpha \in A_i} G_\alpha$, where $A = \cup_i A_i$ is some non-trivial partition. Thus Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 allow to transfer the alignment property from $\Gamma^{(i)}$ -actions on $\check{X}^{(i)} = \prod_{\alpha \in A_i} \check{X}_\alpha \rightarrow B^{(i)} = \prod_{\alpha \in A_i} B_\alpha$ to that of Γ on $\check{X} \rightarrow B$. Therefore we may assume that Γ itself is irreducible in G .

We now point out two important properties of \check{H} :

- (i) \check{H} is generated by unipotent elements in its factors \check{H}_α .
- (ii) If $g \in G$ satisfies $g^{-1}\check{H}g < Q$ then necessarily $g \in Q$.

The first property follows from the construction, in fact, \check{H}_α is generated by all the roots of G_α contained in Q_α . This also explains the second statement.

Next, let $\{T_i\}$ be a (finite) family of one parameter unipotent subgroups (collected from different factors) in \check{H} generating \check{H} . The T_i -action on the projective variety $B = G/Q$ is algebraic. Hence G/Q decomposes as $F_i \sqcup V_i$ where F_i is the set of T_i -fixed points and V_i is the union of free T_i -orbits ($T_i \cong k$ has no proper algebraic subgroups). The intersection $\bigcap F_i$ consists of \check{H} -fixed points, i.e. points gQ such that $\check{H}gQ = gQ$. Property (ii) above yields

$$\bigcap F_i = \{eQ\}, \quad \text{so that} \quad \bigcup V_i = G/Q \setminus \{eQ\}.$$

By Moore's ergodicity theorem T_i acts ergodically on G/Γ and so Γ -action on G/T_i is ergodic and hence conservative with respect to the Haar measure m_{G/T_i} . The T_i -action on V_i is properly discontinuous. It therefore follows from Theorem 4.2 that $\pi : G/\check{H} \rightarrow G/Q$ has the alignment property. \square

5. JOININGS OF PRINCIPLE BUNDLE ACTIONS

In this section we consider two ergodic infinite measure actions (X_i, m_i, Γ) , $i = 1, 2$, which are either isomorphic or, more generally, admit a joining (which we shall assume to be ergodic). Assuming that these actions have alignment systems $\pi_i : (X_i, m_i) \rightarrow B_i$ which are principle bundles with *amenable* structure groups we relate Γ -actions on B_i and the structure groups.

Theorem 5.1 (Joinings and Boundary maps). *Let (X_i, m_i, Γ) , $i = 1, 2$, be two ergodic infinite measure preserving actions of some countable group Γ , which admit an ergodic τ -twisted joining \bar{m} where $\tau \in \text{Aut } \Gamma$. Assume that*

- $(\pi_i : (X_i, m_i) \rightarrow B_i; \Gamma)$ are principle L_i -bundles with the alignment property;
- L_i are amenable groups and B_i are compact spaces with continuous Γ -actions.

Denote by $[\nu_i] = \pi_{i*}[m_i]$ the projection measure class on B_i . Then

- (1) There exists a measurable bijection $\phi : (B_1, \nu_1) \rightarrow (B_2, \nu_2)$ so that

$$[\phi_* \nu_1] = [\nu_2], \quad \phi(\gamma b) = \gamma^\tau \phi(b)$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and ν_1 -a.e. $b \in B_1$, the map ϕ being uniquely defined, up to null sets, by its properties. The joining \bar{m} is supported on the set

$$\mathcal{F}_\phi = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2 : \phi(\pi_1(x_1)) = \pi_2(x_2)\}.$$

- (2) Structure groups L_i contain compact subgroups K_i , so that the joining \bar{m} is $K_1 \times K_2$ -invariant; the quotient systems $(X'_i, m'_i) = (X_i, m_i)/K_i$ (see Lemma 2.2) admit a measurable isomorphism

$$T' : (X'_1, m'_1) \rightarrow (X'_2, c \cdot m'_2), \quad T'(\gamma x_1) = \gamma^\tau T'(x_1).$$

The natural projections $X_i \rightarrow X'_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) define a Γ -equivariant quotient map from $\mathcal{F}_\phi \subset X_1 \times X_2$ with the joining measure \bar{m} to the graph of T' with the measure

$$\bar{m}' = \text{const} \cdot \int_{X'_1} \delta_x \otimes \delta_{T'(x)} dm'_1(x).$$

Furthermore, if K_i are normal in L_i (for example if the joining \bar{m} is a graph of an isomorphism, then $K_i = \{e_i\}$ are trivial), then

- (3) The alignment systems $\pi'_i : X'_i \rightarrow B_i$ are principle Λ_i -bundles where $\Lambda_i = L_i/K_i$; there exists a continuous isomorphism $\rho : \Lambda_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda_2$ and the measurable τ -twisted isomorphism $T' : X'_1 \rightarrow X'_2$ satisfies:

$$T'(\lambda_1 x) = \rho(\lambda_1) T'(x)$$

for m'_1 -a.e. $x \in X'_1$ and m_{Λ_1} -a.e. $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda_1$.

The following commutative diagram schematically summarizes these statements:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_1, m_1) & \xrightarrow{\bar{m}} & (X_2, m_2) \\ \downarrow /K_1 & & \downarrow /K_2 \\ (X'_1, m'_1) & \xrightarrow{T'} & (X'_2, m'_2) \\ \pi'_1 \downarrow \circlearrowleft \Lambda_1 & & \cong \Lambda_2 \circlearrowleft \downarrow \pi'_2 \\ (B_1, [\nu_1]) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (B_2, [\nu_2]) \end{array}$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. The joining \bar{m} can be presented as

$$(5.1) \quad \bar{m} = c_1 \cdot \int_{X_1} \delta_x \otimes \mu_x dm_1(x) = c_2 \cdot \int_{X_2} \nu_y \otimes \delta_y dm_2(y)$$

where the measurable maps $x \in X_1 \mapsto \mu_x \in \mathcal{P}(X_2)$, $y \in X_2 \mapsto \nu_y \in \mathcal{P}(X_1)$ satisfy almost everywhere

$$\mu_{\gamma x} = \gamma^\tau \mu_x, \quad \nu_{\gamma^\tau y} = \gamma_* \nu_y.$$

The families $\{\mu_x\}$ and $\{\nu_y\}$ are uniquely determined, up to null sets, by the joining \bar{m} . Thus Lemma 2.3 allows us to define a measurable map $p : X_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B_2)$

$$p(x) = \pi_{2*} \mu_x, \quad \text{satisfying} \quad p(\gamma x) = \gamma^\tau p(x)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and m_1 -a.e. $x \in X_1$.

Claim 5.2. *There exists a measurable map $\psi : B_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B_2)$ so that $\psi(\gamma b) = \gamma^\tau \psi(b)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and ν_1 -a.e. $b \in B_1$.*

The idea is to construct ψ by "averaging" $p : X_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B_2)$ over the action of the amenable group L_1 on X_1 .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathcal{P}(X_2) \\ L_1 \circlearrowleft \uparrow & & \downarrow \pi_{2*} \\ B_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{P}(B_2) \end{array}$$

Indeed, let $b \in B_1 \mapsto x_b \in X_1$ be some Borel cross section of $\pi : X_1 \rightarrow B_1$. If σ is a probability measure on L_1 which is absolutely continuous with respect to m_{L_1} , then for ν_1 -a.e. $b \in B_1$ the expression

$$\int_{L_1} p(\lambda x_b) d\sigma(\lambda)$$

is a well defined probability measure on B_2 . We shall apply this construction to an asymptotically invariant sequence σ_n of absolutely continuous probability measures on L_1 , i.e. measures $\sigma_n \preceq m_{L_1}$ such that

$$\|\lambda_1 \sigma_n - \sigma_n\| \rightarrow 0$$

for any $\lambda_1 \in L_1$. Existence of such a sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ is one of equivalent definitions of amenability of L_1 . Define a sequence of maps $\psi_n : B_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B_2)$ by

$$\psi_n(b) = \int_{L_1} p(\lambda x_b) d\sigma_n(\lambda).$$

We shall now apply a compactness argument and pass to a convergent subsequence $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$. Indeed the space Δ of (equivalence classes of) measurable maps $B_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B_2)$ (where two maps which agree ν_1 -a.e. are identified), can be viewed as a closed convex subset of the unit ball in the Banach space

$$W = L^\infty((B_1, \nu_1) \rightarrow C(B_2)^*)$$

which is the dual of the Banach space $V = L^1((B_1, \nu_1) \rightarrow C(B_2))$. Thus, endowed with the weak-* topology, Δ is compact. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi \in \Delta$, where the convergence is in the weak-* sense in $W = V^*$. Passing to a further subsequence we can guarantee that for ν_1 -a.e. $b \in B_1$ $\psi_n(b) \rightarrow \psi(b)$ in weak-* sense in $\mathcal{P}(B_2)$.

The chosen Borel cross section $B_1 \rightarrow X_1$ of the principle L_1 -bundle $\pi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow B_1$ defines a Borel cocycle $\Gamma \times B_1 \rightarrow L_1$, $(\gamma, b) \mapsto \lambda_{\gamma, b}$, by

$$\gamma \lambda x_b = \lambda_{\gamma, b} \lambda x_{\gamma b}.$$

The m_1 -a.e. identity $p(\gamma x) = \gamma_*^\tau p(x)$ implies that for ν_1 -a.e. $b \in B_1$ and m_{L_1} -a.e. $\lambda \in L_1$

$$\gamma_*^\tau p(\lambda x_b) = p(\gamma \lambda x_b).$$

Thus for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and ν_1 -a.e. $b \in B_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_*^\tau \psi(b) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{L_1} \gamma_*^\tau p(\lambda x_b) d\sigma_n(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{L_1} p(\gamma \lambda x_b) d\sigma_n(\lambda) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{L_1} p(\lambda_{\gamma,b} \lambda x_{\gamma b}) d\sigma_n(\lambda) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{L_1} p(\lambda x_{\gamma b}) d\sigma_n(\lambda) = \psi(\gamma b)\end{aligned}$$

where the limits are understood with respect to the weak-* topology on $\mathcal{P}(B_2)$, on which Γ acts continuously. The claim is proved.

Claim 5.3. *There exists a measurable map $\phi : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ such that ν_1 -a.e. $\phi(\gamma b) = \gamma^\tau \phi(b)$. Such a map is uniquely defined up to ν_1 -null sets and, moreover, any τ -twisted Γ -equivariant measurable map $\psi : (B_1, \nu_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B_2)$ is ν_1 -a.e.*

$$\psi(b) = \delta_{\phi(b)}.$$

We shall use an existence of a τ -twisted Γ -equivariant map $\psi : (B_1, \nu_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B_2)$ to construct a τ -twisted Γ -equivariant map $\phi : (B_1, \nu_1) \rightarrow B_2$ (both defined up to ν_1 -null sets). Its uniqueness will follow from the construction (by alignment property) and thereby will establish the essential uniqueness of $\psi(b) = \delta_{\phi(b)}$.

Similarly to the map $p : X_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B_2)$ we define $q : X_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B_1)$ by $q_y = \pi_{1*} \nu_y$. By Lemma 2.3 this is a measurable map satisfying

$$q_{\gamma^\tau y} = \gamma_* q_y$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and m_2 -a.e. $y \in Y$. Now let us use $\psi : B_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B_2)$ to pushforward q_y and obtain $\eta_y \in \mathcal{P}(B_2)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}(X_1) & \xleftarrow{\nu_*} & X_2 \\ \eta_y = \int_{B_1} \psi(b) dq_y(b), & \downarrow \pi_{1*} & \downarrow \eta \\ \mathcal{P}(B_1) & \xrightarrow{\psi_*} & \mathcal{P}(B_2) \end{array}$$

We need to invoke Lemma 2.3 again to justify the following m_2 -a.e. identity

$$\eta_{\gamma^\tau y} = \psi_*(q_{\gamma^\tau y}) = \psi_*(\gamma_* q_y) = (\gamma_*^\tau \psi)_* q_y = \gamma_*^\tau \eta_y.$$

Indeed, if $E \in \mathcal{P}(B_1)$ has $\nu_1(E) = 0$ then $m_1(F) = 0$ where $F = \pi_1^{-1}(E)$, and $\nu_y(F) = 0$ for m_2 -a.e. $y \in X_2$, implying $q_y(E) = 0$ for m_2 -a.e. $y \in X_2$.

By the alignment property of $\pi_2 : X_2 \rightarrow B_2$ for m_2 -a.e. $y \in X_2$

$$\delta_{\pi_2(y)} = \eta_y = \int_{B_1} \psi(b) dq_y(b)$$

Dirac measures are extremal points of the convex compact set $\mathcal{P}(B_2)$. Hence we conclude that for m_2 -a.e. $y \in X_2$

$$(5.2) \quad \psi(b) = \delta_{\pi_2(y)} \quad \text{for} \quad q_y\text{-a.e. } b \in B_1$$

Thus $\psi(b)$ is a Dirac measure $\delta_{\phi(b)}$ for ν_1 -a.e. $b \in B_1$. Previously established ν_1 -a.e. identity $\psi(\gamma b) = \gamma^* \psi(b)$ now implies

$$\phi(\gamma b) = \gamma^* \phi(b)$$

for ν_1 -a.e. $b \in B_1$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Claim 5.4. $\phi_* \nu_1 \sim \nu_2$.

Given a Borel subset $E_2 \subset B_2$ let $E_1 = \phi^{-1}(E_2) \subset B_1$. Recall that by (5.2) for m_2 -a.e. $y \in X_2$

$$(5.3) \quad \nu_y(\{x \in X_1 : \phi(\pi_1(x)) = \pi_2(y)\}) = 1.$$

We have the following chain of equivalent conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2(E_2) = 0 &\iff m_2(\{y \in X_2 : \pi_2(y) \in E_2\}) = 0 \\ &\iff \nu_y(\{x \in X_1 : \pi_1(x) \in E_1\}) = 0 \quad m_2\text{-a.e. } y \\ &\iff \bar{m}(\pi_1^{-1}E_1 \times X_2) = 0 \\ &\iff m_1(\pi_1^{-1}E_1) = 0 \iff \nu_1(E_1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It proves that $\phi_* \nu_1 \sim \nu_2$ as claimed.

Claim 5.5. $\phi : (B_1, [\nu_1]) \rightarrow (B_2, [\nu_2])$ is a measurable measure class preserving bijection which is ν_1 -a.e. τ -twisted Γ -equivariant. The joining measure \bar{m} is supported on \mathcal{F}_ϕ as in Theorem 5.1 (1).

Since both alignment systems $(\pi_i : (X_i, m_i) \rightarrow B_i; \Gamma)$ are principle bundles with amenable structure groups L_i and compact B_i , by previous considerations there exist (τ and τ^{-1} -twisted) Γ -equivariant and measure class preserving maps

$$\phi : (B_1, [\nu_1]) \rightarrow (B_2, [\nu_2]), \quad \phi' : (B_2, [\nu_2]) \rightarrow (B_1, [\nu_1]).$$

The maps $\psi_1 = \phi' \circ \phi$ and $\psi_2 = \phi \circ \phi'$ are well defined (up to ν_i -null sets) measure class preserving self maps of (B_i, ν_i) , which are Γ -equivariant. By Lemma 3.2 it follows that $\psi_i(b) = b$ for ν_i -a.e. $b \in B_i$. We conclude that ϕ is a measurable measure class preserving bijection $(B_1, [\nu_1]) \rightarrow (B_2, [\nu_2])$, and along the way establish its uniqueness, mod null sets, as a τ -twisted map. Finally notice that (5.3) actually means that $\bar{m} = \int \nu_y dm_2(y)$ is supported on the set \mathcal{F}_ϕ .

Claim 5.6. There exist compact subgroups $K_i < L_i$ so that the joining m on $X_1 \times X_2$ is $K_1 \times K_2$ -invariant; the quotient systems $(X'_i, m'_i) = (X_i, m_i)/K_i$ admit a τ -twisted isomorphism $T' : X'_1 \rightarrow X'_2$ so that the original ergodic joining \bar{m} descends to the measure

$$\int_{X'_1} \delta_x \otimes \delta_{T'x} dm'_1(x)$$

on the graph of T' .

Recall the disintegration (5.1) of the ergodic joining \bar{m} . For m_1 -a.e. $x \in X_1$ and μ_x -a.e. $y \in X_2$ let $\eta_{(x,y)}^{(2)} \in \mathcal{P}(L_2)$ be the probability defined by

$$\eta_{(x,y)}^{(2)}(E) = \mu_x\{\lambda y : \lambda \in E\} \quad E \in \mathcal{B}(L_2).$$

Denoting by $z = (x, y)$ points of $X_1 \times X_2$ the above gives a measurable map $z \mapsto \eta_z^{(2)} \in \mathcal{P}(L_2)$, well defined up to \bar{m} -null sets. Similarly there is a measurable map $z \mapsto \eta_z^{(1)} \in \mathcal{P}(L_1)$.

The Γ -action $\gamma : (x, y) \mapsto (\gamma x, \gamma^\tau y)$ commutes with the $L_1 \times L_2$ -action. Hence for $i = 1, 2$ we have $\eta_{\gamma z}^{(i)} = \eta_z^{(i)}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and \bar{m} -a.e. z . Hence $\eta_z^{(i)}$ are \bar{m} -a.e. equal to fixed measures $\eta_z^{(i)} = \eta^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2$).

For both $i = 1, 2$ the probability measure $\eta^{(i)}$ on L_i are symmetric and satisfy $\eta^{(i)} = \eta^{(i)} * \eta^{(i)}$. This follows from the fact that for m_1 -a.e. $x \in X_1$ choosing y and y' in X_2 independently according to μ_x we will have $y' = \lambda y$ where the distribution of $\lambda \in L_2$ is $\eta^{(2)}$, and similarly for $\eta^{(1)}$ (this is completely analogous to the argument in the proof of part (3) of Theorem 3.11). Thus Lemma 3.12 yields that $\eta^{(i)}$ is the normalized Haar measure on a *compact subgroup* $K_i < L_i$.

Next consider the natural Γ -equivariant quotients

$$p_i : (X_i, m_i) \rightarrow (X'_i, m'_i) = (X_i, m_i)/K_i \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

as in Lemma 2.2. Consider \mathcal{F}_ϕ with the joining measure \bar{m} as an ergodic infinite measure preserving action of Γ . The measure \bar{m} is invariant under the action of the compact group $K = K_1 \times K_2$ which commutes with the Γ -action. The quotient system $(\mathcal{F}, \bar{m})/K$ is a subset of $X'_1 \times X'_2$ with the measure $\bar{m}' = \bar{m}/K$ having one-to-one projections on X'_i . Therefore it is supported on a graph of a measurable map $T' : X'_1 \rightarrow X'_2$, and since \bar{m}' is invariant under the τ -twisted diagonal Γ -action, T' is a τ -twisted isomorphism.

Next assume that K_i are normal in L_i for both $i = 1, 2$.

Let $\Lambda_i = L_i/K_i$ and observe that $\pi_i : X_i \rightarrow B_i$ is a principle Λ_i -bundle which still has the alignemnt property (Lemma 3.4).

Claim 5.7. *The groups $\Lambda_i = L_i/K_i$ are continuously isomorphic.*

The graph of T' is supported on

$$\mathcal{F}'_\phi = \{(x'_1, x'_2) \in X'_1 \times X'_2 : \phi(\pi'_1(x'_1)) = \pi'_2(x'_2)\} = \mathcal{F}_\phi/(K_1 \times K_2).$$

This allows to define a Borel map $\rho : \Lambda_1 \times X'_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ by

$$(5.4) \quad T'(\lambda x) = \rho(\lambda, x)T'(x) \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda_1, x \in X'_1)$$

It is an (a.e.) cocycle i.e. $\rho(\lambda'\lambda, x) = \rho(\lambda', \lambda x)\rho(\lambda, x)$ for m'_1 -a.e. $x \in X'_1$ and a.e. $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$. Another a.e. identity is

$$\rho(\lambda, \gamma x) = \rho(\lambda, x)$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ whose action commutes with both Λ_1 on X'_1 and Λ_2 on X'_2 . Ergodicity implies that for a.e. $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$ the value $\rho(\lambda, x)$ is a.e. constant $\rho(\lambda)$. The a.e. cocycle property of $\rho(\lambda, x)$ means that $\rho : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ is a measurable a.e. homomorphism. It is well known that a.e. homomorphism between locally compact groups coincide a.e. with a continuous homomorphism, which we continue to denote by ρ . Thus (5.4) translates into (3) of Theorem 5.1, and the fact that $T'_*m_1 = m_2$ allows to conclude that $\rho : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ is one-to-one onto.

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. \square

6. SPACES OF HOROSPHERES

In this section we consider the geometric framework of pinched negatively curved manifolds. Analysis of this geometric situation in particular implies the rigidity results for rank one symmetric spaces: Theorems A and B as we shall see in section 7.

Let N be a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold with pinched negative curvature, let ∂N denote its boundary at infinity (homeomorphic to a sphere $S^{\dim N - 1}$), and $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(N)$ be some non-elementary discrete group of isometries.

Recall some fundamental objects associated with this setup. The critical exponent $\delta = \delta(\Gamma)$ of Γ is

$$\delta(\Gamma) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \log \text{Card}\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid d(\gamma p, p) < R\},$$

(the limit exists and independent of p). The Poincaré series of Γ is defined by

$$P_s(p, q) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s \cdot d(\gamma p, q)}.$$

It converges for all $s > \delta(\Gamma)$ and diverges for all $s < \delta(\Gamma)$ regardless of the location of $p, q \in N$. If the series diverges at the critical exponent $s = \delta(\Gamma)$ the group Γ is said to be of *divergent type*.

Patterson-Sullivan measure/s is a measurable family $\{\nu_p\}_{p \in N}$ of mutually equivalent finite non-atomic measures supported on the limit set $L(\Gamma) \subseteq \partial N$ of Γ , satisfying

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{d\nu_p}{d\nu_q}(\xi) = e^{-\delta \cdot \beta_\xi(p, q)} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{\gamma p} = \gamma_* \nu_p$$

where $\beta_\xi(p, q)$ is the Busemann cocycle $\beta_\xi(p, q) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \xi} [d(p, z) - d(q, z)]$ (the limit exists and is well defined for any $p, q \in N$ and $\xi \in \partial N$). Patterson-Sullivan measures exist, and for Γ of divergent type the family $\{\nu_p\}$ is defined by the above properties uniquely, up to scalar multiple.

The space $\text{Hor}(N)$ of horospheres is a principle \mathbf{R} -bundle over ∂N (see example 3.10). In the parametrization $\text{Hor}(N) \cong \partial N \times \mathbf{R}$ defined by a base point $o \in N$ the Γ -action is given by

$$\gamma : (\xi, t) \mapsto (\gamma \xi, t + c(\gamma, \xi)) \quad \text{where} \quad c(\gamma, \xi) = \beta_\xi(\gamma o, o).$$

Define an infinite measure m on $\text{Hor}(N)$ by

$$(6.2) \quad dm(\xi, t) = e^{-\delta \cdot t} d\nu_o(\xi) dt$$

where ν_o is the Patterson-Sullivan measure. Observe that m is Γ -invariant. We shall say that Γ satisfies condition **(E1)**

Remark 6.1. Measure-theoretically Γ -action on $(\text{Hor}(N), m)$ can be viewed as a standard measure preserving extension of the measure class preserving Γ -action on $(\partial N, \nu_*)$ where ν_* is any representative of the measure class of the Patterson-Sullivan measures.

Theorem 6.2 (Rigidity for actions of spaces of horospheres). *Let N_1 and N_2 be complete simply connected Riemannian manifolds of pinched negative curvature, $\text{Isom}(N_1) > \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma_2 < \text{Isom}(N_2)$ be two abstractly isomorphic discrete non-elementary torsion free groups of isometries with $\tau : \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma_2$ denoting the isomorphism. Fix some base points $o_i \in N_i$ and let ν_i and m_i denote the Patterson-Sullivan measures on $L(\Gamma_i) \subseteq \partial N_i$ and their extensions on $\text{Hor}(N_i)$ respectively.*

Assume that $(\text{Hor}(N_i), m_i, \Gamma_i)$ are ergodic. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) *The actions $(\text{Hor}(N_i), m_i, \Gamma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, admit an ergodic $\text{Id} \times \tau$ -twisted joining.*
- (2) *The actions $(\text{Hor}(N_i), m_i, \Gamma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, admit a measurable τ -twisted isomorphism*

$$T : (\text{Hor}(N_1), m_1) \longrightarrow (\text{Hor}(N_2), m_2).$$

- (3) *There exists a (τ -twisted) measure class preserving isomorphism*

$$\phi : (L(\Gamma_1), \nu_1) \longrightarrow (L(\Gamma_2), \nu_2).$$

Under these (equivalent) conditions all ergodic joining are graphs of isomorphisms, the map ϕ is uniquely defined (up to null sets), and every measurable isomorphism $\text{Hor}(N_1) \rightarrow \text{Hor}(N_2)$ has the form

$$\text{hor}_\xi(t) \in \text{Hor}(N_1) \quad \mapsto \quad \text{hor}_{\phi(\xi)}(c \cdot t + s_\xi), \quad \text{where} \quad c = \delta_2/\delta_1.$$

Furthermore, if Γ_i are of divergent type, then the above conditions imply that $\phi : L(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow L(\Gamma_2)$ is a homeomorphism and the groups Γ_i have proportional length spectra

$$\delta_2 \cdot \ell_2(\gamma^\tau) = \delta_1 \cdot \ell_1(\gamma) \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma_1),$$

where $\ell_i(\gamma) = \inf\{d_i(\gamma p, p) \mid p \in N_i\}$ is the translation length of $\gamma \in \text{Isom}(N_i)$.

Remark 6.3. In some cases the last statement implies that N_1 is equivariantly isometric to N_2 , after a rescaling by δ_1/δ_2 . This is known as the Marked Length Spectrum Rigidity (Conjecture). It has been proved for example for surface groups ([Ota90]), or if say N_1 is a symmetric space and N_1/Γ_1 is compact ([Ham99]).

Proof of Theorem 6.2. “(1) \Rightarrow (2) and (3)”. Recall that by Theorem 4.1 the $(\text{Hor}(N_i), m_i, \Gamma_i)$ are alignment systems. Moreover these are **R**-principle bundles with compact base spaces ∂N_i . Let \bar{m} be an ergodic $\text{Id} \times \tau$ -twisted joining on $\text{Hor}(N_1) \times \text{Hor}(N_2)$. Applying Theorem 5.1 we get the desired measure class preserving (τ -twisted) equivariant map

$$\phi : (\partial N_1, \nu_1) \longrightarrow (\partial N_2, \nu_2).$$

Its uniqueness being established on the way. As $(\mathbf{R}, +)$ has no compact subgroups we also conclude that \bar{m} is a graph of an isomorphism. As the only automorphisms

of \mathbf{R} are $t \mapsto c \cdot t$, defining s_ξ by $\text{hor}_\xi(0) \mapsto \text{hor}_{\phi(\xi)}(s_\xi)$ we get the general form of such an isomorphism as stated.

“(1) \Rightarrow (2)” and “(3) or (2) \Rightarrow (1)” being trivial, we are left with “(3) \Rightarrow (2)”. But this follows from 6.3.

We are now left with the proof of the geometric conclusions in the case of divergent groups. The arguments below are probably known to experts, but we could not find a good reference in the existing literature.

We first recall some general facts from Patterson-Sullivan theory. Let $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(N)$ be a discrete group of isometries of a connected, simply connected manifold N of pinched negative curvature. Let

$$\partial^2 N = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \partial N \times \partial N : \xi \neq \eta\}$$

denote the space of pairs of distinct points at infinity of N (this is the space of oriented but unparametrized geodesic lines in N). Another Busemann cocycle (or Gromov product) can be defined for $\xi \neq \eta \in \partial N$ and $p \in N$ by

$$B_p(\xi, \eta) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \xi, y \rightarrow \eta} \frac{1}{2} [d(p, x) + d(p, y) - d(x, y)].$$

It can also be written as $B_p(\xi, \eta) = \beta_\xi(p, q) + \beta_\eta(p, q)$, where q is an arbitrary point on the geodesic line $(\xi, \eta) \subset N$. For any fixed $p \in N$ the function $B_p(\xi, \eta)$ is continuous and proper on $\partial^2 N$, i.e. tends to ∞ as ξ and η approach each other.

We have $\beta_{\gamma\xi}(\gamma p, \gamma q) = \beta_\xi(p, q)$ and $B_{\gamma p}(\gamma\xi, \gamma\eta) = B_p(\xi, \eta)$ for any isometry $\gamma \in \text{Isom}(N)$. This implies that

$$(6.3) \quad B_p(\gamma\xi, \gamma\eta) - B_p(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} [\beta_\xi(\gamma p, p) + \beta_\eta(\gamma p, p)].$$

In view of (6.1) this means that the measure $\bar{\mu}$ on $\partial^2 N \subset \partial N \times \partial N$ defined by

$$(6.4) \quad d\bar{\mu}(\xi, \eta) = e^{2\delta B_p(\xi, \eta)} d\nu_p(\xi) d\nu_p(\eta).$$

is Γ -invariant. This definition is independent of $p \in N$. One of the basic facts in Patterson-Sullivan theory states that Γ is of divergent type iff its action on $(\partial^2 N, \bar{\mu})$ is ergodic ([Sul82], [Yue96]).

Function $B_o(\cdot, \cdot)$ can also be used to define a *cross-ratio* on ∂N by

$$(6.5) \quad [\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2] = e^{2\delta \cdot [B_o(\xi_1, \eta_1) + B_o(\xi_2, \eta_2) - B_o(\xi_1, \eta_2) - B_o(\xi_2, \eta_1)]}$$

where $o \in N$ is some reference point. This cross-ratio is independent of the choice of $o \in N$, and is invariant under $\text{Isom}(N)$ and in particular under Γ , and satisfies the usual identities.

Returning to the given pair $\Gamma_i < \text{Isom}(N_i)$ we have

Claim 6.4. *The measurable (τ -twisted) equivariant map*

$$\phi : (L(\Gamma_1, \nu_1) \rightarrow (L(\Gamma_2), \nu_2)$$

is a homeomorphism (possibly after an adjustment on null sets) and

$$\phi(\gamma\xi) = \gamma^\tau \phi_0(\xi)$$

holds for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ and all $\xi \in L(\Gamma_1)$. Moreover

$$(6.6) \quad [\phi(\xi_1), \phi(\xi_2), \phi(\eta_1), \phi(\eta_2)]_2 = [\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2]_1$$

for all distinct $\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in L(\Gamma_1) \subseteq \partial N_1$.

This is a consequence of property (i) and the ergodicity of Γ_i on $\overline{\mu}_i$ (the following argument is a version of Sullivan's argument for Kleinian groups in [Sul82], but can also be traced back to Mostow in the context of quasi-Fuchsian groups).

The idea is that $\phi_*\nu_1 \sim \nu_2$ and $\overline{\mu}_i \sim \nu_i \otimes \nu_i$ imply that the pushforward measure $(\phi \times \phi)_*\overline{\mu}_1$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\overline{\mu}_2$. Since $\overline{\mu}_1$ is Γ_1 -invariant while ϕ is equivariant it follows that $(\phi \times \phi)_*\overline{\mu}_1$ is Γ_2 -invariant. Hence its Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to $\overline{\mu}_2$ is a.e. a constant. In view of (6.1), (6.4) this amounts to a $\overline{\mu}_1$ -a.e. relation

$$\begin{aligned} 2\delta_2 \cdot B_2(\phi(\xi), \phi(\eta)) &= 2\delta_1 \cdot B_1(\xi, \eta) + f(\xi) + f(\eta) + C, \\ \text{where } f(\xi) &= 2\delta_1 \cdot \log \frac{d\phi_*\nu_1}{d\nu_2}(\phi(\xi)). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these into the definition of the cross-ratios one observes that the f -terms and the constant C cancel out. It follows that relation (6.6) holds ν_1 -almost everywhere.

For any fixed distinct ξ_2, ξ_3, ξ_4 we have

$$[\xi, \xi_2; \xi_3, \xi_4]_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \xi \rightarrow \xi_3.$$

This allows, using Fubini theorem and a.e. identity (6.6), to conclude that ϕ agrees ν_1 -a.e. with a *continuous* function ϕ_0 defined on $\text{supp}(\nu_1) = L(\Gamma_1)$. Since all the data are symmetric, it follows that ϕ_0 is a homeomorphism, relation (6.6) extends from a.e. to everywhere on $\text{supp}(\nu_1) = L(\Gamma_1)$ by continuity.

It is well known that the cross-ratio as above determines the marked length spectrum. More precisely:

Lemma 6.5. *Let N be a simply connected Riemannian manifold of pinched negative curvature, $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(N)$ a non-elementary discrete group of isometries, $\delta = \delta(\Gamma)$ is growth exponent, and $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ the corresponding cross ratio as in (6.5). If $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is a hyperbolic element with attracting, repelling points $\gamma_+, \gamma_- \in \partial N$, then:*

$$2\delta \cdot \ell(\gamma) = \log[\gamma_+, \gamma_-; \xi, \gamma\xi]$$

for all $\xi \in \partial N \setminus \{\gamma_-, \gamma_+\}$.

Proof. It is well known that $B_p(\xi, \eta)$ is within a constant (depending only on N) from $\text{dist}(p, (\xi, \eta)) = \inf\{d(p, x) \mid x \in (\xi, \eta)\}$. For a fixed $\xi \neq \gamma_{\pm}$ and $p \in N$ we can estimate (with an error depending on p and ξ , but independent of $n \in \mathbf{N}$)

$$\text{dist}(p, (\gamma_+, \gamma^n \xi)) = \text{dist}(\gamma^{-n} p, (\gamma_+, \xi)) \asymp d(\gamma^{-n} p, p) \asymp n \cdot \ell(\gamma).$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{n} B_p(\gamma_+, \gamma^n \xi) \longrightarrow \ell(\gamma).$$

At the same time $\text{dist}(p, (\gamma_-, \gamma^n \xi)) \rightarrow \text{dist}(p, (\gamma_-, \gamma_+))$, and so

$$\frac{1}{n} B_p(\gamma_-, \gamma^n \xi) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since γ fixes the points $\gamma_-, \gamma_+ \in \partial N$ and preserves the cross-ration we have for each n :

$$\begin{aligned} \log[\gamma_+, \gamma_-; \xi, \gamma \xi] &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log[\gamma_+, \gamma_-; \gamma^k \xi, \gamma^{k+1} \xi] \\ &= \frac{2\delta}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (B_o(\gamma_+, \gamma^k \xi) - B_o(\gamma_+, \gamma^{k+1} \xi) + B_o(\gamma_-, \gamma^{k+1} \xi) - B_o(\gamma_-, \gamma^k \xi)) \\ &= \frac{2\delta}{n} \cdot (B_o(\gamma_+, \xi) - B_o(\gamma_-, \xi) + B_o(\gamma_+, \gamma^n \xi) - B_o(\gamma_-, \gamma^n \xi)) \\ &\rightarrow \ell(\gamma) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

We return to $\Gamma_i < \text{Isom}_+(N_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, related by an abstract isomorphism $\tau : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ and an equivariant homeomorphism $\phi : L(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow L(\Gamma_2)$. The classification of elements of $\text{Isom}_+(N_i)$ into elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic can be done in terms of the dynamics on the boundaries, e.g. a hyperbolic isometry g has two fixed points g_-, g_+ and source/sink dynamics. Thus the topological conjugacy ϕ of the Γ_i -actions on $L(\Gamma_i) \subset \partial N_i$, shows that τ preserves the types of the elements. Thus if $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ is hyperbolic then so is $\gamma^\tau \in \Gamma_2$, and ϕ maps the corresponding repelling contracting points γ_\pm of γ to those of γ^τ in Γ_2 , because

$$\phi(\gamma_\pm) = \phi(\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} \gamma^n \xi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} (\gamma^\tau)^n \phi(\xi) = \gamma_\pm^\tau$$

for any $\xi \in \partial N_1 \setminus \{\gamma_-, \gamma_+\}$. Thus using the previous Lemma we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \ell_1(\gamma) &= \log[\gamma_+, \gamma_-; \xi, \gamma \xi]_1 = \log[\phi(\gamma_+), \phi(\gamma_-); \phi(\xi), \phi(\gamma \xi)]_2 \\ &= \log[\gamma_+^\tau, \gamma_-^\tau; \phi(\xi), \gamma^\tau \phi(\xi)]_2 = \delta_2 \ell_2(\gamma^\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\delta_1 \ell_1(\gamma) = \delta_2 \ell_2(\gamma^\tau)$ for all hyperbolic elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and the same formula (in the trivial form of $0 = 0$) applies to parabolic and elliptic $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.2. □

7. PROOFS OF THE RIGIDITY RESULTS

In this section we put all the ingredients developed in Sections 3-6 together in order to deduce the results stated in the Introduction. We shall need some auxiliary facts, some of which, e.g. 7.3, maybe of independent interest. We start with the following general

Lemma 7.1. *Let Γ be some discrete group with II_∞ actions on six infinite measure spaces linked into two sequences as follows:*

$$(\check{X}_i, \check{m}_i) \xrightarrow{p_i} (X_i, m_i) \xrightarrow{q_i} (\hat{X}_i, \hat{m}_i) \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Suppose that \bar{m} be an ergodic (possibly τ -twisted) joining of the Γ -actions on (X_1, m_1) with (X_2, m_2) . Then there exist ergodic (τ -twisted) joinings \bar{m} of $(\check{X}_1, \check{m}_1)$ with $(\check{X}_2, \check{m}_2)$, and \bar{m} of (\hat{X}_1, \hat{m}_1) with (\hat{X}_2, \hat{m}_2) so that

$$(7.1) \quad (\check{X}_1 \times \check{X}_2, \bar{m}) \xrightarrow{p_1 \times p_2} (X_1 \times X_2, \bar{m}) \xrightarrow{q_1 \times q_2} (\hat{X}_1 \times \hat{X}_2, \bar{m})$$

are quotient maps for the Π_∞ diagonal ($Id \times \tau$ -twisted) Γ -actions.

Proof. The measure \bar{m} of \bar{m} is defined by $\bar{m}(E_1 \times E_2) = \bar{m}(q_1^{-1}E_1 \times q_2^{-1}E_2)$ and it is straightforward to verify that it is a joining of \hat{m}_1 with \hat{m}_2 ; its ergodicity follows from the ergodicity of \bar{m} .

To construct \bar{m} first consider the disintegration of \check{m}_i with respect to m_i :

$$\check{m}_i = \int_{X_i} \mu_x^{(i)} dm_i(x) \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Consider the measure \bar{m}^* on $\check{X}_1 \times \check{X}_2$ defined by

$$\bar{m}^* = \int_{X_1 \times X_2} \mu_x^{(1)} \otimes \mu_y^{(2)} dm(x, y).$$

This measure forms a ($Id \times \tau$ -twisted) joining of the Γ -actions on $(\check{X}_i, \check{m}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and also projects to \bar{m} under $p_1 \times p_2$. Let $\bar{m}^* = \int \bar{m}_t d\eta(t)$ denote the ergodic decomposition of \bar{m}^* into ergodic joinings. Then \bar{m} is an average of ergodic joinings $(p_1 \times p_2)_* \bar{m}_t$. Since \bar{m} is ergodic, η -a.e. ergodic joining \bar{m}_t projects to a multiple of \bar{m} and can serve as \bar{m} in the Lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem A. Let G be a real, connected, simple, non compact, center free, rank one group, $G = KP$ and $P = MAN$ the Iwasawa decompositions. Denote by $\mathbf{H} = G/K$ the associated symmetric space and by $\partial\mathbf{H} = G/P = G/MAN$ its boundary. The unit tangent bundle is $S\mathbf{H} = G/M$, and the space of horospheres $\text{Hor}(\mathbf{H})$ can be identified with G/MN .

Let $H < G$ be a closed, unimodular, proper subgroup containing N , and denote $\hat{H} = MN$, $\check{X} = G/N$, $X = G/H$, $\hat{X} = G/\hat{H} = \text{Hor}(\mathbf{H})$ and let \check{m} , m , \hat{m} denote the corresponding Haar measures. We assume that Γ acts ergodically on $(\check{X}, \check{m}) = (G/N, m_{G/N})$ and hence on (X, m) . The projection

$$X = G/H \longrightarrow G/P, \quad gH \mapsto gP$$

has the alignment property by Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 3.5. If H is normal in P , for example if $H = \check{H} = N$ or $H = \hat{H} = MN$ then $(X, m) \rightarrow B$ is a principle bundle with an alignment property (Theorem 4.1), and therefore Theorem A is a direct corollary of Theorem 3.11.

In the general case, the argument for algebraicity of quotients is the simplest: any quotient $q : (X, m) \rightarrow (Y, n)$ defines a quotient of $(\check{X}, \check{m}) \xrightarrow{p} (X, m) \xrightarrow{q} (Y, n)$. As was mentioned above Theorem 3.11 applies to $\check{X} = G/\check{H}$, which gives that (Y, n) can be identified with $(G/H', m_{G/H'})$ where $\check{H} < H'$ with H'/\check{H} compact. Since the factor map $q \circ p : g\check{H} \mapsto gH'$ factors through G/H it follows that $H < H'$ and $p(gH) = gH'$.

To analyze the centralizers of the Γ -action on (X, m) we first consider general ergodic self joinings \overline{m} of (X, m) . Let \check{m} and \hat{m} be ergodic self joinings of (\check{X}, \check{m}) and (\hat{X}, \hat{m}) as provided by Lemma 7.1. Applying Theorem 3.11 to (\check{X}, \check{m}) and (\hat{X}, \hat{m}) we deduce that there exist $\lambda \in \check{\Lambda} = N_G(N)/N = P/N = MA$ and $a \in \hat{\Lambda} = N_G(MN) = P/MN = A$ so that

$$\check{m} = \text{const} \cdot \int_{\check{X}} \delta_x \otimes \delta_{\lambda x} d\check{m}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{m} = \text{const} \cdot \int_{\hat{X}} \delta_x \otimes \delta_{ax} d\hat{m}(x).$$

Since $(X \times X, \overline{m})$ is an intermediate quotient as in (7.1), it follows that $a \in A$ is the image of $\lambda \in MA$ under the natural epimorphism $\check{\Lambda} = MA \rightarrow \hat{\Lambda} = A$. This completes the description of self-joinings in the theorem.

Finally, let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a measurable centralizer of the Γ -action on (X, m) . Applying the above arguments to the corresponding self-joining $\overline{m} = \int_X \delta_x \otimes \delta_{T(x)} dm(x)$ we deduce, in particular, that T is “covered” by an algebraic automorphisms \check{T} of $\check{X} = G/N$, i.e. for some $q \in P = MAN$ the map $\check{T} : gN \mapsto gqN$. The fact that the graph of \check{T} covers that of T means that for a.e. $gH \in X$, the map \check{T} takes the preimage $p^{-1}(gH) = \{ghN \in \check{X} \mid h \in H\}$ to $p^{-1}(g'H) = \{g'hN \in \check{X} \mid h \in H\}$, where $T(gH) = g'H$. This implies that $q \in N_G(H)$, and $T(gH) = gqH$ – an algebraic centralizer as in 1.3. Theorem A is proved.

Proof of Theorem B. Now consider a discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$ which satisfies property (E2) (defined before the statement of Theorem B). Such groups Γ have the full limit set $L(\Gamma) = \partial\mathbf{H}$, the maximal critical exponent $\delta(\Gamma) = \delta(\mathbf{H})$ at which its Poincare series diverges (so they are of divergent type), and the associated Patterson-Sullivan measures are in the Haar class on $\partial\mathbf{H}$. The Γ -invariant measure m on $S\mathbf{H} \cong G/H_1$ as in (6.2) is a scalar multiple of $m_{G/H'}$. It is well known that $G = \text{Isom}_+(\mathbf{H})$ can be identified with the *conformal group* on the boundary, the latter can be defined using the *the cross-ratio*

$$(7.2) \quad G = \text{Isom}_+(\mathbf{H}) \cong \{\psi \in \text{Homeo}_+(\partial\mathbf{H}) : [\,,\,] \circ \psi = [\,,\,]\}$$

Consider the framework of Theorem B in which two rank-one groups G_i , $i = 1, 2$, as above contain abstractly isomorphic discrete subgroups $\Gamma_i < G_i$, $\tau : \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma_2$, and the homogeneous space $X_i = G_i/H_i$ admit a τ -twisted joining \overline{m} with respect to the Γ_i -actions. Denote by \mathbf{H}_i , $\partial\mathbf{H}_i$, $[\,,\,]_i$ etc. the corresponding symmetric spaces, their boundaries, cross-ratios etc. Let set $\check{H}_i = N_i < H_i < \hat{H}_i = M_i N_i < G_i$ and

$$\check{X}_i = G_i/\check{H}_i \longrightarrow X_i = G_i/H_i \longrightarrow \hat{X}_i = G_i/\hat{H}_i \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Let \overline{m} on $\hat{X}_1 \times \hat{X}_2$ denote the quotient joining of \overline{m} on $X_1 \times X_2$ as in Lemma 7.1. Note that $\check{X}_i = \text{Hor}(\mathbf{H}_i)$. Applying Theorems 4.1 and 6.2 we conclude that there exists a homeomorphism $\phi : \partial\mathbf{H}_1 \rightarrow \partial\mathbf{H}_2$ such that

- (i) $[\,,\,]_2 \circ \phi = [\,,\,]_1$
- (ii) $\phi(\gamma\xi) = \gamma^\tau \phi(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \partial\mathbf{H}_1$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$

In view of (7.2) property (i) yields an isomorphism $G_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} G_2$ for which ϕ serves as the *boundary map*. It follows from (ii) that this isomorphism extends $\tau : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$. Thus the result essentially reduces to that of Theorem A, (see the Proof of Theorem D for full details).

Proof of Theorem C. The proof of Theorem A applies almost verbatim to that of that of Theorem C with the appeal to Theorem 4.1 replaced by Theorem 4.6.

For the proof of Theorem D we need some preparations, which are of independent interest.

Let (B, ν) be a standard probability space, Γ a group acting by measure class preserving transformations on (B, ν) . Such an action is called “Strongly Almost Transitive” if

$$(SAT) \quad \forall A \subset B \quad \text{with} \quad \nu(A) > 0, \quad \exists \gamma_n \in \Gamma : \quad \nu(\gamma_n^{-1} A) \rightarrow 1.$$

Lemma 7.2. *Let Γ be a group with a measure class preserving (SAT) action on a standard probability space (B, ν) , let C be a standard Borel space with a measurable Γ -action, and $\pi_1, \pi_2 : B \rightarrow C$ be two measurable maps such that:*

$$\pi_i(\gamma x) = \gamma \pi_i(x) \quad \text{for} \quad \nu\text{-a.e. } x \in B \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma).$$

Then $\pi_1(x) = \pi_2(x)$ for ν -a.e. $x \in B$, unless the measures $(\pi_1)_\nu, (\pi_2)_*\nu$ are mutually singular.*

Proof. Suppose that $\nu(\{x \in B \mid \pi_1(x) \neq \pi_2(x)\}) > 0$. In this case there exists a measurable set $E \subset C$ so that the symmetric difference $\pi_1^{-1}(E) \Delta \pi_2^{-1}(E)$ has positive ν -measure. Upon possibly replacing E by its compliment, we may assume that the set $A = \pi_1^{-1}(E) \setminus \pi_2^{-1}(E)$ has $\nu(A) > 0$. Set $F_i = \pi_i(A) \subset C$. Then F_1 and F_2 are disjoint. By the (SAT) property there exists a sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ in Γ so that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu(\gamma_n^{-1}(B \setminus A)) < \infty.$$

Then for ν -a.e. $x \in B$ we have $g_n x \in A$ for all $n \geq n_0(x) \in \mathbf{N}$. For $i = 1, 2$ let $C_i \subset C$ denote the set of points $y \in C$ for which $\{n \mid g_n y \notin F_i\}$ is finite, i.e. $C_i = \liminf g_n^{-1} F_i$. Hence $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$ because $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$. In view of ν -a.e. equivariance of π_i we get that the measure $\eta_i = (\pi_i)_*\nu$ is supported on C_i . Hence $\eta_1 \perp \eta_2$. \square

Theorem 7.3. *Let G be a semi-simple group, $\Gamma < G$ a Zariski dense subgroup with full limit set, e.g. a lattice in G (irreducible or not), $P < G$ a minimal parabolic and ν – a probability measure on G/P in the Haar measure class.*

- (1) *Let $Q < G$ be some parabolic subgroup containing P , and $\pi : G/P \rightarrow G/Q$ be a measurable map, s.t. $\pi(\gamma x) = \gamma \pi(x)$ a.e. on G/P for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then ν -a.e. $\pi(gP) = gQ$.*

- (2) Let $Q_1, Q_2 < G$ be two parabolic subgroups containing P , ν_i probability measures on G/Q_i in the Haar measure class, and $\phi : G/Q_1 \rightarrow G/Q_2$ be a measurable bijection with $\phi_*\nu_1 \sim \nu_2$ and s.t. $\phi(\gamma x) = \gamma\phi(x)$ a.e. on G/Q_1 for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $Q_1 = Q_2$ and $\phi(x) = x$ a.e. on G/Q

In particular the second statement with parabolic subgroups $Q_1 = Q_2$ immediately gives:

Corollary 7.4. *Let $\Gamma < G$ be as in Theorem 7.3, e.g. a lattice; let $Q < G$ be a parabolic subgroup and ν be a probability measure on G/Q in the Haar measure class. Then the measurable centralizer of the Γ -action on G/Q is trivial.*

Remark 7.5. If G is of higher rank, and $\Gamma < G$ is an irreducible lattice, then the only measurable quotients of the Γ -action on G/Q are algebraic, i.e. are given by $G/Q \rightarrow G/Q'$ with $Q < Q'$ and are given by $gQ \mapsto gQ'$. This is the content of Margulis' Factor Theorem (see [Mar89]).

Proof of Theorem 7.3. (1). The natural projection $\pi_0 : G/P \rightarrow G/Q$, $\pi_0(gP) = gQ$ is G -equivariant. It is well known that an action of a Zariski dense subgroup Γ on $(G/P, \nu)$ is (SAT). The argument is then completed by Lemma 7.2.

(2). Denote by $\pi_i : G/P \rightarrow G/Q_i$ the natural projections $\pi_i(gP) = gQ_i$. Lemma 7.2 shows that the maps $\phi \circ \pi_1$ and π_2 agree ν -a.e. on G/P . This in particular implies that for a.e. gP and all $q \in Q_1$:

$$gQ_2 = \pi_2(gP) = \phi(\pi_1(gP)) = \phi(gQ_1) = \phi(gqQ_1) = \pi_2(gqP) = gqQ_2.$$

This means that $Q_1 < Q_2$ and that $\phi(gQ_1) = gQ_2$ a.e. The same reasoning applies to $\phi^{-1} \circ \pi_2$, and π_1 as maps $G/P \rightarrow G/Q_1$, giving $Q_2 < Q_1$ and ϕ being a.e. the identity. \square

Proof of Theorem D. Let G be a semi-simple group, and $H_i < G$, ($i = 1, 2$) be two suitable subgroups as in Definition 1.7. We consider the action of a lattice $\Gamma < G$ on the two homogeneous spaces $X_i = G/H_i$ equipped with the Haar measures $m_i = m_{G/H_i}$.

Each of the groups H_i ($i = 1, 2$) is pinched between $\check{H}_i \triangleleft \hat{H}_i$ associated to some parabolic subgroup $Q_i < G$. The corresponding homogeneous spaces are linked by the natural G -equivariant projections

$$\check{X}_i = G/\check{H}_i \xrightarrow{p_i} X_i = G/H_i \xrightarrow{q_i} \hat{X}_i = G/\hat{H}_i.$$

These homogeneous spaces naturally project to $B_i = G/Q_i$, which is a compact space with a continuous action of G . The G -equivariant projections:

$$\check{\pi}_i : \check{X}_i \rightarrow B_i, \quad \pi_i : X_i \rightarrow B_i, \quad \hat{\pi}_i : \hat{X}_i \rightarrow B_i \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

have the alignment property with respect to the corresponding Haar measures and the Γ -action (Theorem 4.6). We also note that $\check{\pi}_i : \check{X}_i \rightarrow B_i$ and $\hat{\pi}_i : \hat{X}_i \rightarrow B_i$ are principle bundles with amenable structure groups $\check{L}_i = Q_i/\check{H}_i$ and $\hat{L}_i = Q_i/\hat{H}_i$.

Let \overline{m} be an ergodic joining of the Γ -actions on (X_i, m_i) , and let $\overline{\check{m}}$ and $\overline{\hat{m}}$ be ergodic joinings of $\check{X}_1 \times \check{X}_2$ and $\hat{X}_1 \times \hat{X}_2$ as in Lemma 7.1. Applying Theorem 5.1

to \overline{m} (or \widehat{m}) we deduce that there exists a Γ -equivariant measurable bijection $\phi : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ mapping the Haar measure class $[\nu_1]$ on B_1 to the measure class $[\nu_2]$ on B_2 . Then part (2) of Theorem 7.3 shows that under these circumstances $Q_1 = Q_2$. Hence simplifying the notations we have a single parabolic $Q < G$ and

$$B < \check{H} < H_1, H_2 < \hat{H} \triangleleft Q, \quad \text{and} \quad \check{L} = Q/\check{H}, \quad \hat{L} = Q/\hat{H}$$

and \overline{m} and \widehat{m} are ergodic self joinings of the Γ -actions on $\check{X} = G/\check{H}$ and $\hat{X} = G/\hat{H}$, which are principle bundles over B with the alignment property with respect to the Γ -action. By Theorem 3.11 they have the form:

$$(7.3) \quad \overline{m} = \text{const} \cdot \int_{G/\check{H}} \delta_{g\check{H}} \otimes \delta_{gq\check{H}} d\check{m}(g\check{H}), \quad \widehat{m} = \text{const} \cdot \int_{G/\hat{H}} \delta_{g\hat{H}} \otimes \delta_{gq\hat{H}} d\check{m}(g\hat{H})$$

for some fixed $q \in Q$.

To complete the proof of the theorem, it remains to consider in more detail the case of an isomorphisms $T : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$; we shall prove that in this case the above $q \in Q$ conjugates H_1 to H_2 . So let \overline{m} be the joining coming from the graph of T , and let \widehat{m} be the ergodic joining supported on the graph of the map $\check{T}(g\check{H}) = gq\check{H}$ where $q\check{H} = \lambda \in \check{L}$. Then \check{T} maps the preimage

$$p_1^{-1}(\{gH_1\}) = \{gh_1\check{H} \in \check{X} \mid h_1 \in H_1\}$$

of a typical point $gH_1 \in X_1$ to the preimage

$$p_2^{-1}(\{g'H_2\}) = \{g'h_2\check{H} \in \check{X} \mid h_2 \in H_2\}$$

of the point $g'H_2 = T(gH_1) \in X_2$. With $q \in Q$ as in (7.3) we have $gH_1q = g'H_2 = T(gH_1)$. This implies that $H_2 = q^{-1}H_1q$ and $T(gH_1) = gqH_2$ a.e. This completes the proof of Theorem D.

REFERENCES

- [BL98] M. Babillot and F. Ledrappier, Geodesic paths and horocycle flow on abelian covers, in *Lie groups and ergodic theory (Mumbai, 1996)*, volume 14 of *Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math.*, pages 1–32, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1998.
- [Gui89] Y. Guivarc'h, *Propriétés ergodiques, en mesure infinie, de certains systèmes dynamiques fibrés*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **9**(3), 433–453 (1989).
- [Ham99] U. Hamenstädt, *Cocycles, symplectic structures and intersection*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **9**(1), 90–140 (1999).
- [Kai00] V. A. Kaimanovich, Ergodicity of the horocycle flow, in *Dynamical systems (Luminy-Marseille, 1998)*, pages 274–286, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2000.
- [Kai02] V. A. Kaimanovich, SAT actions and ergodic properties of the horosphere foliation, in *Rigidity in dynamics and geometry (Cambridge, 2000)*, pages 261–282, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [Mar89] G. A. Margulis, Discrete subgroups and ergodic theory, in *Number theory, trace formulas and discrete groups (Oslo, 1987)*, pages 377–398, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [Ota90] J.-P. Otal, *Le spectre marqué des longueurs des surfaces à courbure négative*, Ann. of Math. (2) **131**(1), 151–162 (1990).
- [Ota01] J.-P. Otal, *The hyperbolization theorem for fibered 3-manifolds*, volume 7 of *SMF/AMS Texts and Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001, Translated from the 1996 French original by Leslie D. Kay.

- [Rat82a] M. Ratner, *Factors of horocycle flows*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **2**(3-4), 465–489 (1983) (1982).
- [Rat82b] M. Ratner, *Rigidity of horocycle flows*, Ann. of Math. (2) **115**(3), 597–614 (1982).
- [Rat83] M. Ratner, *Horocycle flows, joinings and rigidity of products*, Ann. of Math. (2) **118**(2), 277–313 (1983).
- [Rat95] M. Ratner, Interactions between ergodic theory, Lie groups, and number theory, in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994)*, pages 157–182, Basel, 1995, Birkhäuser.
- [SS] Y. Shalom and T. Steger, Measurable rigidity of actions on infinite homogeneous spaces I, unpublished.
- [Sul82] D. Sullivan, *Discrete conformal groups and measurable dynamics*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **6**(1), 57–73 (1982).
- [Yue96] C. Yue, *The ergodic theory of discrete isometry groups on manifolds of variable negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348**(12), 4965–5005 (1996).

MATHEMATICS STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO.
E-mail address: furman@math.uic.edu